

# Dependent Object Types

Towards a foundation for Scala's type system

Nada Amin, Adriaan Moors, Martin Odersky

Foundations of Software

December 18, 2012

## DOT: Dependent Object Types

The DOT calculus proposes a new *type-theoretic foundation* for Scala and languages like it. It models

- ▶ path-dependent types
- ▶ abstract type members
- ▶ mixture of nominal and structural typing via refinement types

It does not model

- ▶ inheritance and mixin composition
- ▶ what's currently in Scala

DOT normalizes Scala's type system by

- ▶ unifying the constructs for type members
- ▶ providing classical intersection and union types

## Classical Intersection and Union Types

- ▶ form a lattice wrt subtyping
- ▶ simplify glb and lub computations

```
trait A { type T <: A }
trait B { type T <: B }
trait C extends A with B { type T <: C }
trait D extends A with B { type T <: D }
// in Scala, lub(C, D) is an infinite sequence
A with B { type T <: A with B { type T <: A with B {
  type T <: ...
}}}}
// type inference needs to compute glbs and lubs
if (cond) ((a: A) => c: C) else ((b: B) => d: D)
// lub(A => C, B => D) <: glb(A, B) => lub(C, D)
```

## Constructs for Type Members

```
trait Food
trait Animal {
  // in DOT, abstract Meal: Bot .. Food
  type Meal <: Food
  def eat(meal: Meal) {}
}
// in Dot, concrete Grass: Bot .. Food
trait Grass extends Food
trait Cow extends Animal {
  // in DOT, abstract Meal: Grass .. Grass
  type Meal = Grass
}
val a = new Animal {}
val c = new Cow {}
val g = new Grass {}
a.eat(???) // ????.type <: a.Meal so ????.type <: Bot
c.eat(g) // g.type <: c.Meal so g.type <: Grass
```

# DOT: Syntax

## ► terms

variables  $x, y, z$

selections  $t.l$

method invocations  $t.m(t)$

object creations **val**  $y = \mathbf{new}$   $c; t'$

$c$  is a constructor  $T_c \left\{ \overline{l = v} \overline{m(x) = t} \right\}$

## ► types

type selections  $p.L$

refinement types  $T \{z \Rightarrow \overline{D}\}$

type intersections  $T \wedge T'$

type unions  $T \vee T'$

a top type  $\top$

a bottom type  $\perp$

## ► declarations

type  $L : S..U$

value  $l : T$

method  $m : S \rightarrow U$

## Functions as Sugar

$$S \rightarrow_s T \iff \top \{z \Rightarrow \text{apply} : S \rightarrow T\}$$
$$\mathbf{fun} (x : S) T t \iff \mathbf{val} z = \mathbf{new} S \rightarrow_s T \{ \text{apply}(x) = t \}; z$$
$$(\mathbf{app} f x) \iff f.\text{apply}(x)$$
$$(\mathbf{cast} T t) \iff (\mathbf{app} (\mathbf{fun} (x : T) T x) t)$$

# DOT: Judgments

## Typing Judgments

- ▶ type assignment  
 $\Gamma \vdash t : T$
- ▶ subtyping  
 $\Gamma \vdash S <: T$
- ▶ well-formedness  
 $\Gamma \vdash T \mathbf{wf}$
- ▶ membership  
 $\Gamma \vdash t \ni D$
- ▶ expansion  
 $\Gamma \vdash T \prec_z \bar{D}$

## Small-Step Operational Semantics

- ▶ reduction  
 $t | s \rightarrow t' | s'$

# Reduction $t \mid s \rightarrow t' \mid s'$

$$\frac{y \mapsto T_c \left\{ \overline{l = v'} \overline{m(x) = t} \right\} \in s}{y.m_i(v) \mid s \rightarrow [v/x_i]t_i \mid s} \quad (\text{MSEL})$$

$$\frac{y \mapsto T_c \left\{ \overline{l = v} \overline{m(x) = t} \right\} \in s}{y.l_i \mid s \rightarrow v_i \mid s} \quad (\text{SEL})$$

$$\mathbf{val} \ x = \mathbf{new} \ c; \ t \mid s \rightarrow t \mid s, x \mapsto c \quad (\text{NEW})$$

$$\frac{t \mid s \rightarrow t' \mid s'}{e[t] \mid s \rightarrow e[t'] \mid s'} \quad (\text{CONTEXT})$$

**where** evaluation context  $e ::= [] \mid e.m(t) \mid v.m(e) \mid e.l$



# Type Assignment $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : T}$

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \quad (\text{VAR})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t \ni m : S \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma \vdash t' : T', T' <: S}{\Gamma \vdash t.m(t') : T} \quad (\text{MSEL})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t \ni l : T'}{\Gamma \vdash t.l : T'} \quad (\text{SEL})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} y \notin \text{fn}(T') \\ \Gamma \vdash T_c \mathbf{wfe}, T_c \prec_y \overline{L : S..U, \overline{D}} \\ \Gamma, y : T_c \vdash \overline{S <: \overline{U}}, \overline{d : \overline{D}}, t' : T' \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{val} \ y = \mathbf{new} \ T_c \{\overline{d}\}; t' : T'} \quad (\text{NEW})$$

Membership  $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t \ni D}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : T, T \prec_z \bar{D}}{\Gamma \vdash p \ni [p/z]D_i} \quad (\text{PATH-}\exists)$$

$$\frac{z \notin \text{fn}(D_i) \quad \Gamma \vdash t : T, T \prec_z \bar{D}}{\Gamma \vdash t \ni D_i} \quad (\text{TERM-}\exists)$$

(...) Expansion  $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash T \prec_z \overline{D}}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T \prec_z \overline{D'}}{\Gamma \vdash T \{z \Rightarrow \overline{D}\} \prec_z \overline{D'} \wedge \overline{D}} \quad (\text{RFN-}\prec)$$

$$(D \wedge D')(L) = L : (S \vee S') .. (U \wedge U')$$

$$\text{if } (L : S .. U) \in \overline{D} \text{ and } (L : S' .. U') \in \overline{D}'$$

$$= D(L) \text{ if } L \notin \text{dom}(\overline{D}')$$

$$= D'(L) \text{ if } L \notin \text{dom}(\overline{D})$$

## (...) Path-dependent types

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p \ni L : S..U, S <: U, S' <: S}{\Gamma \vdash S' <: p.L} \quad (\text{<:-TSEL})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p \ni L : S..U, S <: U, U <: U'}{\Gamma \vdash p.L <: U'} \quad (\text{TSEL-<:})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p \ni L : S..U, U \prec_z \bar{D}}{\Gamma \vdash p.L \prec_z \bar{D}} \quad (\text{TSEL-}\prec)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p \ni L : S..U, S \mathbf{wf}, U \mathbf{wf}}{\Gamma \vdash p.L \mathbf{wf}} \quad (\text{TSEL-WF}_1)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p \ni L : \perp..U}{\Gamma \vdash p.L \mathbf{wf}} \quad (\text{TSEL-WF}_2)$$

## Revisiting LUB Computation

- ▶ Suppose  $f$  has type  $T_f = (A \rightarrow_s C) \vee (B \rightarrow_s D)$
- ▶  $T_f = \top \{z \Rightarrow \text{apply} : A \rightarrow C\} \vee \top \{z \Rightarrow \text{apply} : B \rightarrow D\}$
- ▶ Let's type-check  $y = (\mathbf{app} \ f \ x) = f.\text{apply}(x)$
- ▶  $T_f \prec_f \{\text{apply} : A \wedge B \rightarrow C \vee D\}$
- ▶  $f \ni \text{apply} : A \wedge B \rightarrow C \vee D$
- ▶  $T_x <: A \wedge B$
- ▶  $T_y = C \vee D$

## Revisiting Refined Type Members

```
trait Food
trait Animal {
  // in DOT, abstract Meal: Bot .. Food
  type Meal <: Food
  def eat(meal: Meal) {}
}
// in Dot, concrete Grass: Bot .. Food
trait Grass extends Food
trait Cow extends Animal {
  // in DOT, abstract Meal: Grass .. Grass
  type Meal = Grass
}
```

$Cow \prec_c \{Meal : Grass \vee Bot..Grass \wedge Food, eat : c.Meal \rightarrow Unit\}$

$Cow \prec_c \{Meal : Grass..Grass, eat : c.Meal \rightarrow Unit\}$

## Why not alias Meal to Food in Animal?

```
trait Food
trait Animal {
  // in DOT, abstract Meal: Food .. Food
  type Meal = Food
  def eat(meal: Meal) {}
}
```

```
trait Grass extends Food
trait Cow extends Animal {
  // in DOT, abstract Meal: Grass .. Grass
  type Meal = Grass
}
```

$Cow \prec_c \{Meal : Grass \vee Food..Grass \wedge Food, eat : c.Meal \rightarrow Unit\}$

$Cow \prec_c \{Meal : Food..Grass, eat : c.Meal \rightarrow Unit\}$

# Type-Safety?

- ▶ Type safety usually proven as a corollary of the standard theorems of preservation and progress.
- ▶ In DOT, preservation (also known as subject reduction) doesn't hold, because of
  - ▶ narrowing: after substitution, a term can have a more precise type
  - ▶ need for path-equality provisions



## Counterexample: TERM- $\Rightarrow$ Restriction

Let  $X$  be a shorthand for the type:

$$\top\{z \Rightarrow L_a : \top.. \top \mid z.L_a\}$$

Let  $Y$  be a shorthand for the type:

$$\top\{z \Rightarrow l : \top\}$$

Now, consider the term

```
val u = new X {l = u};  
(app (fun (y :  $\top \rightarrow_s$  Y) Y (app y u)) (fun (d :  $\top$ ) Y (cast X u))).l
```

- ▶ How to type `(cast X u).l`?

## Counterexample: Path Equality

<b>val</b> $b = \mathbf{new}$ $\top\{z \Rightarrow$	$X : \top..T$
	$l : z.X \quad \quad \quad \} \{l = b\};$
<b>val</b> $a = \mathbf{new}$ $\top\{z \Rightarrow i : \top\{z \Rightarrow$	
	$X : \perp..T$
	$l : z.X \quad \quad \quad \} \{i = b\};$
$(\mathbf{cast} \top (\mathbf{cast} a.i.X a.i.l))$	

- ▶  $a.i.l$  reduces to  $b.l$ .
- ▶  $b.l$  has type  $b.X$ , so we need  $b.X <: a.i.X$ .

## Counterexample: (Expansion and) Well-Formedness Lost

```
val v = new T {z ⇒ L : ⊥..T {z ⇒ A : ⊥..T, B : z.A..z.A} } {};  
(app (fun (x : T {z ⇒ L : ⊥..T {z ⇒ A : ⊥..T, B : ⊥..T}}) T  
      val z = new T {z ⇒  
                    l : x.L ∧ T {z ⇒ A : z.B..z.B, B : ⊥..T} → T}{  
                    l(y) = fun (a : y.A) T a};  
      (cast T z))  
v)
```

# Type-Safety

A well-typed term doesn't get stuck:

- ▶ If
  - ▶  $\emptyset \vdash t : T$                       and
  - ▶  $t \mid \emptyset \rightarrow^* t' \mid s'$
- ▶ then
  - ▶  $t'$  is a value                      or
  - ▶  $\exists t'', s''. t' \mid s' \rightarrow t'' \mid s''$ .

Observations:

- ▶ Type-safety is stronger than progress, which states that a well-typed term can take a step or is a value.
- ▶ But progress + preservation is stronger than type-safety. In particular, we don't need to type-check intermediary terms for type-safety!
- ▶ But how to get a strong enough induction hypothesis without preservation? Use logical relations.

## A taste of step-indexed logical relations: STLC

$$V_k[\text{Bool}] \{ \text{true}, \text{false} \}$$

$$V_k[T_1 \Rightarrow T_2] \{ \lambda x.t \mid \forall j \leq k, v \in V_j[T_1]. E_j[T_2, [v/x]t] \}$$

$$E_k[T] \{ t \mid \forall i, j, t'. i + j < k \Rightarrow (t \rightarrow^i t' \wedge \text{irred}(t')) \Rightarrow t' \in V_j[T] \}$$

$$\gamma_k[\Gamma] \{ \sigma \mid \forall x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma). \sigma(x) \in V_k[\Gamma(x)] \}$$

$$\Gamma \models t : T \quad \forall k, \sigma \in \gamma_k[\Gamma]. \sigma(t) \in V_k[T]$$

## Fundamental Theorem (completeness of the logic. rel.)

- ▶ A well-typed term is in the logical relation.
- ▶  $\Gamma \vdash t : T \Rightarrow \Gamma \vDash t : T$
- ▶ Proof by induction on the typing derivation.

## DOT: Dependent Object Types

- ▶ DOT is a core calculus for path-dependent types.
- ▶ DOT aims to normalize Scala's type system.
- ▶ DOT does not satisfy the standard theorem of preservation. Can and should we live with that?
- ▶ See the FOOL 2012 paper for the entire formalism, examples, counterexamples to preservation, and discussion of type-safety, design decisions and variants.
- ▶ Current work involves both metatheory and practical compiler implementation. Projects available!

## Extra Slides



## Example: Class Hierarchies

```
object pets {  
  trait Pet  
  trait Cat extends Pet  
  trait Dog extends Pet  
  trait Poodle extends Dog  
  trait Dalmatian extends Dog  
}
```

```
val pets = new  $\top$ {z  $\Rightarrow$   
   $Pet_c : \perp..T$   
   $Cat_c : \perp..z.Pet_c$   
   $Dog_c : \perp..z.Pet_c$   
   $Poodle_c : \perp..z.Dog_c$   
   $Dalmatian_c : \perp..z.Dog_c$   
}{};
```

## Example: Abstract Type Members

```
object choices {  
  trait Alt {  
    type C  
    type A <: C  
    type B <: C  
    val choose : A => B => C  
  }  
}
```

```
val choices = new T { z =>  
  Alt_c :  $\perp..T$  { a =>  
    C :  $\perp..T$   
    A :  $\perp..a.C$   
    B :  $\perp..a.C$   
    choose :  $a.A \rightarrow a.B \rightarrow_s a.A \vee a.B$   
  }  
} {};
```

## Subtyping of *choices*

$choices.Alt_c \{a \Rightarrow C : \perp .. pets.Dog_c\}$   
<:  $choices.Alt_c \{a \Rightarrow C : \perp .. pets.Pet_c\}$

but

$choices.Alt_c \{a \Rightarrow C : pets.Dog_c .. pets.Dog_c\}$   
✗:  $choices.Alt_c \{a \Rightarrow C : pets.Pet_c .. pets.Pet_c\}$

## Example: F-bounded Quantification

```
trait MetaAlt extends choices.Alt {  
  type C = MetaAlt  
  type A = C  
  type B = C  
}
```

```
val m = new  $\top$ { m  $\Rightarrow$   
  MetaAltc :  $\perp$ ..choices.Altc{ a  $\Rightarrow$   
    C : m.MetaAltc..m.MetaAltc  
    A : a.C..a.C  
    B : a.C..a.C  
  }  
} {};
```

## Example: Polymorphic Operators as Sugar

We translate

```
val  $x^a$  = pickLast( $T^C$ ,  $T^A$ ,  $T^B$ );  $e^a$ 
```

to

```
val  $x^a$  = new choices.Altc{ $x^a$  ⇒  
   $C : T^C .. T^C$   
   $A : T^A .. T^A$   
   $B : T^B .. T^B$   
  choose :  $x^a.A \rightarrow x^a.B \rightarrow_s x^a.B$   
} {choose( $a$ ) = fun ( $b : x^a.B$ )  $x^a.B$   $b$ };  
 $e^a$ 
```

## Some *MetaAlt<sub>c</sub>* instances

```
val f = new MetaAlt {  
  val choose: C => C => C = a => b => a  
}  
val rl = new MetaAlt {  
  val choose: C => C => C = a => b => b.choose(a)(b)  
}
```

```
val f = new m.MetaAltc{  
  choose(a) = fun (b : m.MetaAltc) m.MetaAltc a};  
val rl = new m.MetaAltc{  
  choose(a) = fun (b : m.MetaAltc) m.MetaAltc  
    (app b.choose(a) b)};
```