Dependent Object Types EDIC Candidacy Exam

Nada Amin

LAMP, I&C, EPFL

September 12, 2012

DOT: Dependent Object Types

The DOT calculus proposes a new *type-theoretic foundation* for Scala and languages like it. It models

- path-dependent types
- abstract type members
- mixture of nominal and structural typing via refinement types

It does not model

- inheritance and mixin composition
- what's currently in Scala

Path-dependent types

path-dependent type limited form of *dependent type*, in which a type depends on a *path*

dependent type a type which depends on a term path a chain of immutable fields or stable values

```
abstract class AbsCell {
                                    val c = new AbsCell {
 type T
                                      type T = Int
 val init: T
                                      val init = 1
 private var value: T = init
                                    }
 def get = value
                                    c.set(2)
 def set(x: T) { value = x }
                                    val a: AbsCell = c
}
                                    // a.T is opaque
                                    a.set(a.init)
object Library {
 def update(c: AbsCell)(oldval: c.T, newval: c.T) =
    if (oldval == c.get) { c.set(newval); true }
   else false
}
```

Selected Papers

- A Type-Theoretic Approach to Higher-Order Modules with Sharing by Robert Harper and Mark Lillibridge POPL '94
 - calculus for modules in the ML tradition
 - modules are first-class values
- 2. Tribe: a simple virtual class calculus

by Dave Clarke, Sophia Drossopoulou, James Noble and Tobias Wrigstad AOSD '07 $\,$

- calculus for virtual classes
- paths are types, and types are "generalized" paths
- A Nominal Theory of Objects with Dependent Types by Martin Odersky, Vincent Cremet, Christine Röckl and Matthias Zenger ECOOP '03
 - calculus unifying advanced object-oriented and module systems
 - foundation for Scala

SML modules: Structures and Signatures

```
(* A.T transparent *)
structure A = struct
                               val vA: int = A.v
 type T = int
 val v = 0
 val f = fn x => x + 1
end
signature X = sig
 type T
 val v: T
 val f: T \rightarrow T
end
structure B :> X = A
                               (* B.T opaque *)
                               val vB: B.T = B.v
```

SML modules: Functors and Type Sharing

```
functor mkDoubler(arg: X) :> X where type T = arg.T = struct
type T = arg.T
val v = arg.v
val f = arg.f o arg.f
end
```

```
(* A2.T = A.T = int *)
structure A2 = mkDoubler(A);
val a: int = A2.f(A.v);
```

```
(* B2.T = B.T *)
structure B2 = mkDoubler(B);
val b: B.T = B2.f(B.v);
```

SML modules: Recap

Limitations of SML modules

- modules are not first-class; e.g. not possible to return a structure from an if expression
- type sharing is restricted to equality between type names, not general type expressions (limitation of SML '90; lifted in SML '97)

```
signature X' = sig
type T
type T2T = T -> T
val v: T
val f: T2T
end
```

 in effect, transparency / opaqueness of a signature cannot be fully fined-tuned

"Translucent" ML: Calculus

grounded in type theory; based on Girard's F_{ω} , adding:

- translucent sums to model modules
- dependent functions to model functors
- a notion of subtyping to model module implementation-interface matching

"Translucent" ML: Translucent Sums

- translucent sums are values representing modules
- translucent sum: sequence of bindings
- translucent sum type: sequence of declarations
- unlike traditional records, later fields can depend on earlier ones
- fields can be types or terms
- any type can be partially or fully determined by a type expression
- dependent typing since translucent sums are terms that may contain type components

"Translucent" ML: Example

```
structure XInt = struct
  type T = int
  val v = 3
  val f = negate
end
structure XBool = struct
  type T = bool
  val v = true
  val f = not
end
```

```
signature XType = sig
  type T
  val v: T
  val f: T -> T
end
```

structure X =
 if flip() then XInt else XBool

"Translucent" ML: Path-Dependent Types

- ► Scala's abstract types ≈ ML's abstract types of signatures; limitations:
 - recursive references
 - bounded quantifications
- translucent sum can be given a more precise type by referring to the name of its type member with a path selection; essential for
 - breaking the dependencies between (sub)fields
 - propagating typing information

```
Tribe: Example (Virtual Classes)
```

} }

```
class Graph {
  class Node {
    Edge connect(Node other) {
      return new Edge(this, other);
    }
  }
  class Edge {
    Node from, to;
    Edge(Node f, Node t) { from = f; to = t; }
  }
}
class ColouredGraph extends Graph { // subclassing
  class Node {
                                     // further binding
    Colour nodeColour;
```

Tribe: Example (Object Family and Family Polymorphism)

```
final ColouredGraph cg1, cg2;
cg1.Node cn1, cn3;
cg2.Node cn2;
cn1.connect(cn3); // Type Correct
cn2.connect(cn3); // Type Error!!!
```

```
class Library {
  int distance(Graph.Node n1, n1.out.Node n2) { ... }
  int distance2(Graph g, g.Node n1, g.Node n2) { ... }
```

```
e.out.Edge copyEdge(Graph.Edge e) {
  e.out.Node from = e.from;
  e.out.Node to = e.to;
  new e.out.Edge(from, to);
}
```

Tribe Types

- in Tribe, types are a form of generalized paths: a final variable, this or a class name then followed by a possibly empty sequence of field, out or class selections
- kitt.Passenger.name: the name of one of Kitt's passengers
- kitt.driver <: Car.driver <: Car.Passenger <: Car.Traveller <: Vehicle.Traveller</pre>
- ▶ kitt.driver ∠: karr.driver and kitt.Passenger ∠: karr.Passenger

```
class Vehicle { class Traveller { ... } }
class Car extends Vehicle {
   class Passenger extends Traveller {
     class String { ... }
     final String name;
   }
   final Passenger driver;
}
final Car kitt;
final Car karr;
```

Tribe Calculus: Recap

- families of classes inherited together
- classes are lexically nested inside other classes: when a class is inherited, its nested inner classes are inherited along with their methods and fields
- two form of inheritance: subclassing and further binding
- family polymorphism: code written for one family also works for extensions of that family
- ▶ in Tribe:
 - path types can depend simultaneously on both classes and objects
 - paths can use an out field to move from an object to the object which surrounds it; enables ubiquitous access to an object's family without the need to drag around family arguments

Tribe: Discussion

- no virtual classes in Scala; its virtual types can emulate some (but not all) of the benefits
- because of out field, Tribe has limited cross-family inheritance

```
class A {
   class D { ... }
   class B {
     class C {
        this.out.out.D foo;
     }
   }
   class E {
     class F extends A.B.C { ... }
}
```

- soundness? substitution lemma seems wrong when null is substituted for a variable in an expression whose type contains that variable
- aims to have decidable type-checking, but still not proved so

ν**Obj**

A calculus for classes and objects which can have types as members. It can encode:

- Java's inner classes
- virtual types
- family polymorphism
- essential aspects of ML-like module systems, including
 - sharing constraints
 - higher-order functors

A basis for unifying concepts in advanced object and module systems. Connections:

- Object = Module
- Object type = Signature
- Class = Method = Functor

νObj : Syntax

• terms in νObj denote objects or classes; consist of:

variables x selections t.1 object creations $\nu x \leftarrow t$; u class templates $[x : S | \overline{d}]$ mixin compositions $t_1 \&_S t_2$ (if omitted, $S = S_1 \& (S_2 \& \{x | D_1 \uplus D_2\}))$

a value is a variable or class template

a path is a variable followed by a possibly empty sequence of selections

types in vObj consist of:

singleton types p.typetype selections $T \bullet L$ record types $\{x \mid \overline{D}\}$ class types $[x : S \mid \overline{D}]$ compound types T & U

$\nu \textit{Obj}$: Details

- type bindings
 - type aliases =
 - new types \prec
 - abstract types <:
- class template $[x : S | \overline{d}]$ related to translucent sum; some differences:
 - needs to be instantiated
 - "contractive" restriction
- class type $[x : S | \overline{D}]$
 - ► contains as values classes that instantiate to objects of (sub)type {x | D}
 - explicit self type S may be different from $\{x \mid \overline{D}\}$
 - declarations in S which are not in D play the role of abstract members, defined by mixin composition during instantiation

νObj : Example (encoding of monomorphic functions)

• Encoding for a
$$\lambda$$
-abstraction $\lambda(x : T) t$:

$$[x: {arg: T}| fun = [res = t']]$$

(t' = t with x.arg substituted for x)

Encoding for an application g(e):

$$\nu g_{app} \leftarrow g \& [arg = e];$$

 $\nu g_{eval} \leftarrow g_{app}. fun;$
 $g_{eval}. res$

νObj : Discussion

- conflate the concepts of compound types (which inherit the members of several parent types) and mixin composition (which build classes from other classes and traits)
- mixin composition is not commutative, unlike classical intersection types
- in Scala, least upper bounds and greatest lower bounds do not always exist, e.g:

```
trait A { type T <: A }
trait B { type T <: B }
// glb is an infinite sequence
A with B { type T <: A with B { type T <: A with B {
   type T <: ...
}}</pre>
```

DOT: Dependent Object Types

- core calculus for modeling path-dependent types
- we've seen path-dependent types arise in three settings:
 - ML-like module systems
 - virtual classes
 - *vObj* / Scala
- DOT aims to bring more uniformity and simplicity to Scala
 - replace Scala's compound types with classical intersection types
 - complement calculus with classical union types
 - intersections and unions form a lattice wrt subtyping

DOT: Status

- basic calculus
- type safety
 - showed by counterexamples that subject reduction doesn't hold
 - sketch of plausible proof via logical relations
- submission about this work-in-progress accepted to Foundations of Object-Oriented Languages (FOOL '12)
- future work
 - confirm proof of type safety and/or refine calculus
 - investigate translations of Scala features into DOT
 - implement a compiler front-end which uses DOT for typechecking

DOT: Syntax

terms

variables x, y, z
selections t./
method invocations t.m(t)
object creations val
$$y = \text{new } c t'$$

 c is a constructor $T_c \left\{ \overline{I = v} \ \overline{m(x) = t} \right\}$

types

type selections p.Lrefinement types $T \{z \Rightarrow \overline{D}\}$ type intersections $T \land T'$ type unions $T \lor T'$ a top type \top a bottom type \bot DOT: Preservation Counterex. (Well-Formedness Lost)

// y.A is not well-formed after v is substituted for x.

val
$$v = \text{new} \top \{z \Rightarrow L : \bot..\top \{z \Rightarrow A : \bot..\top, B : z.A..z.A\}\} \{\}$$

(app $\lambda x : \top \{z \Rightarrow L : \bot..\top \{z \Rightarrow A : \bot..\top, B : \bot..\top\}\}$.
val $z = \text{new} \top \{z \Rightarrow I : \bot \to \top\} \{$
 $I = \lambda y : x.L \land \top \{z \Rightarrow A : z.B..z.B, B : \bot..\top\}$.
 $\lambda a : y.A.(\text{app} (\lambda x : \top.x) a)\}$
(app $(\lambda x : \top.x) z)$
 v)

System F_{ω} : Higher-order polymorphic lambda-calculus

terms <i>t</i>	typed lambda-calculus terms $x - \lambda x : T.t - t t$ type abstraction $\lambda X :: K.t$ type application $t[T]$
types T	type variable X type of functions $T \rightarrow T$ universal type $\forall X :: K.T$ operator abstraction $\lambda X :: K.T$ operator application T T
kinds <i>K</i>	kind of proper types $*$ kind of operators $K \Rightarrow K$

System $F_{<:}$: Bounded Quantification

terms ttyped lambda-calculus terms $x - \lambda x : T.t - t t$ type abstraction $\lambda X <: T.t$ type application t[T]types Ttype variable Xmaximum type \top type of functions $T \to T$ universal type $\forall X <: T.T$