

## Week 8: Functions and States

Until now, our programs have been side-effect free.

Therefore, the concept of *time* wasn't important.

For all programs that terminate, any sequence of actions would have given the same results.

This was also reflected in the substitution model of computation.

Rewriting can be done anywhere in a term, and all rewritings which terminate lead to the same solution.

This is an important result of the  $\lambda$ -calculus, the theory behind functional programming.

## Stateful Objects

One normally develops the world like a set of objects, some of which have a state that *changes* over the course of time.

An object **has a state** if its behavior is influenced by its history.

Example: a bank account has a state, because the answer to the question

“can I withdraw 100 CHF ?”

may vary over the course of the lifetime of the account.

## Implementation of State

Every form of mutable state is constructed from variables.

A variable definition is written like a value definition, but with the keyword **var** in place of **val**.

Example:

```
var x: String = "abc"  
var count = 111
```

Just like a value definition, a variable definition associates a value with a name.

However, in the case of variable definitions, this association can be changed later through an *assignment*, like in Java.

Example:

```
x = "salut"  
count = count + 1
```

## State in Objects

Objects in the "real world" with state are represented by objects that have some variable members.

Example: Here is a class modeling a bank account.

```
class BankAccount {  
  private var balance = 0  
  def deposit(amount: Int) {  
    if (amount > 0) balance = balance + amount  
  }  
  def withdraw(amount: Int): Int =  
    if (0 < amount && amount ≤ balance) {  
      balance = balance - amount  
      balance  
    } else error("insufficient funds")  
}
```

The class defines a variable *balance* that contains the current balance of the account.

The methods *deposit* and *withdraw* change the value of the *balance* through assignments.

Note that *balance* is private (**private**) in the *BankAccount* class—it therefore cannot be accessed from outside the class.

To create bank accounts, we use the usual notation for object creation:

```
val account = new BankAccount
```

**Example:** Here is a *scala* session that manipulates bank accounts.

```
scala> :l bankaccount.scala
loading file 'bankaccount.scala'
scala> val account = new BankAccount
account: BankAccount = BankAccount@1797795
scala> account deposit 50
res0: Unit = ()
scala> account withdraw 20
res1: Int = 30
scala> account withdraw 20
res2: Int = 10
scala> account withdraw 15
java.lang.Error: insufficient funds
    at scala.Predef$error(Predef.scala:74)
    at BankAccount$class.withdraw(bankaccount.scala:13)
    at <top-level>(console:1)

scala>
```

Applying the same operation to an account twice in a row produces different results. Clearly, accounts are stateful objects.

## Identity and Change

Assignment poses the new problem of deciding whether two expressions are "the same"

When one excludes assignments and one writes:

$$\mathbf{val\ } x = E; \mathbf{ val\ } y = E$$

where  $E$  is an arbitrary expression, then it is reasonable to assume that  $x$  and  $y$  are the same. That is to say that we could have also written:

$$\mathbf{val\ } x = E; \mathbf{ val\ } y = x$$

(This property is usually called **referential transparency**)

But once we allow the assignment, the two formulations are different.

For example:

$$\mathbf{val\ } x = \mathbf{new\ } BankAccount; \mathbf{ val\ } y = \mathbf{new\ } BankAccount$$

**Q** : Are  $x$  and  $y$  the same?

7

## Operational Equivalence

To respond to the last question, we must specify what is meant by "the same".

The precise meaning of "being the same" is defined by the property of *operational equivalence*.

In a somewhat informal way, this property is stated as follows.

Suppose we have two definitions  $x$  and  $y$ .

To test if  $x$  and  $y$  are the same, we must:

- Execute the definitions followed by an arbitrary sequence of operations that involves  $x$  and  $y$ , observing the possible outcomes.
- Then, execute the definitions with another sequence  $S'$  obtained by renaming all occurrences of  $y$  by  $x$  in  $S$
- If the results obtained by executing  $S'$  are different, then the expressions  $x$  and  $y$  are certainly different.

8

- On the other hand, if all possible pairs of sequences  $(S, S')$  produce the same result, then  $x$  and  $y$  are the same.

Based on this definition, let's see if the expressions

```
scala> val x = new BankAccount
scala> val y = new BankAccount
```

define the values  $x$  and  $y$  such that they are the same.

Here are the definitions again, followed by a test sequence:

```
scala> val x = new BankAccount
scala> val y = new BankAccount
scala> x deposit 30
30
scala> y withdraw 20
java.lang.Error: insufficient funds
```

Now rename all occurrences of  $y$  with  $x$  in this sequence. We obtain:

```
scala> val x = new BankAccount
scala> val y = new BankAccount
scala> x deposit 30
30
scala> x withdraw 20
10
```

The final results are different. We conclude that  $x$  and  $y$  are not the same.

On the other hand, if we define

```
val x = new BankAccount
val y = x
```

then no sequence of operations can distinguish between  $x$  and  $y$ , so  $x$  and  $y$  are the same in this case.

## Assignment and Substitution Model

The preceding examples show that our model of computation by substitution cannot be used.

Indeed, according to this model, one can always replace the name of a value by the expression that defines it.

For example, in

```
val x = new BankAccount
val y = x
```

the `x` in the definition of `y` could be replaced by `new BankAccount`

But we have seen that this change leads to a different program.

The substitution model ceases to be valid when we add the assignment.

We'll see next week how to modify the model to reflect alternative assignments.

## Loops

**Theory:** Variables make it possible to model all imperative programs.

But what about control statements like loops?

We can model them using functions.

**Example:** Here is a Scala program that uses a **while** loop:

```
def power (x: Double, exp: Int): Double = {
  var r = 1.0
  var i = exp
  while (i > 0) { r = r * x; i = i - 1 }
  r
}
```

In Scala, **while** is a keyword.

But how could we define **while** by using a function?

## Definition of *while*

The instruction **while** can be defined as a function that takes two arguments:

- a condition of type *boolean*, and
- a command, of type *Unit*

The condition and the command must be passed by name so that they're reevaluated in each iteration.

This brings us to the following definition of **while**.

```
def while(condition: => Boolean)(command: => Unit): Unit =  
  if (condition) {  
    command; while(condition)(command)  
  } else {  
  }
```

Note that **while** is tail recursive, it should be able to operate with a constant stack size.

**Exercise:** Write a function implementing the loop, *repeat*, that must be used as follows:

```
repeat {  
  command  
} ( condition )
```

Is it also possible to obtain the following syntax?

```
repeat {  
  command  
} until ( condition )
```

## for Loops

The **for** loop in Java is an exception; it cannot be modeled simply by a higher-order function.

The reason is that in a Java program of type

```
for (int i = 1; i < 3; i = i + 1) { System.out.print(i + " "); }
```

the arguments of **for** contain the *declaration* of the variable *i*, which is visible in other arguments and in the body.

However, in Scala there exists a similar syntax for **for** loops.

```
for (i ← List.range(1, 3)) { System.out.print(i + " ") }
```

This displays `1 2`.

Compare this expression with the following:

```
scala> for (i ← List.range(1, 3)) yield i  
List(1, 2)
```

## Advanced Example: Discrete Event Simulation

We now consider an example of how assignments and higher-order functions can be combined in interesting ways.

We will construct a digital circuit simulator.

This example also shows how to build programs for discrete event simulation.



## Digital Circuits

Let's start with a small description language for digital circuits.

A digital circuit is composed of *wires* and of functional components

Wires transport signals that are transformed by components.

We represent signals using booleans *true* and *false*.

The base components (gates) are:

- The **Inverter**, whose output is the inverse of its input.
- The **AND Gate**, whose output is the conjunction of its inputs.
- The **OR Gate**, whose output is the disjunction of its inputs.

Other components can be constructed by combining these base components.

The components have a reaction time (or *delay*), i.e. their outputs don't change immediately after a change to their inputs.

## A Language for Digital Circuits

We describe the elements of a digital circuit using the following Scala classes and functions.

To start with, the class *Wire* models wires.

Wires can be constructed as follows:

```
val a = new Wire; val b = new Wire; val c = new Wire
```

or in the equivalent way:

```
val a, b, c = new Wire
```

On the other hand, there exist the following functions:

```
def inverter(input: Wire, output: Wire): Unit
def andGate(a1: Wire, a2: Wire, output: Wire): Unit
def orGate(o1: Wire, o2: Wire, output: Wire): Unit
```

which create base components, as a side effect.

More complex components can be constructed from these.

For example, a half-adder can be defined as follows:

```
def halfAdder(a: Wire, b: Wire, s: Wire, c: Wire) {  
    val d = new Wire  
    val e = new Wire  
    orGate(a, b, d)  
    andGate(a, b, c)  
    inverter(c, e)  
    andGate(d, e, s)  
}
```

This half-adder can in turn be used to define a full adder:

```
def fullAdder(a: Wire, b: Wire, cin: Wire, sum: Wire, cout: Wire) {  
    val s = new Wire  
    val c1 = new Wire  
    val c2 = new Wire  
    halfAdder(a, cin, s, c1)  
    halfAdder(b, s, sum, c2)  
    orGate(c1, c2, cout)  
}
```

## What do we have left to do?

To continue, the class *Wire* and the functions *inverter*, *andGate*, and *orGate* represent a small description language of digital circuits.

We now give the implementation of this class and its functions which allow us to simulate circuits.

These implementations are based on a simple API for discrete event simulation.

## Simulation API

A discrete event simulator performs **actions**, specified by the user at a given **moment**.

An **action** is a function that doesn't take any parameters and which returns *Unit*:

```
type Action = () ⇒ Unit
```

The **time** is simulated; it has nothing to with the actual time.

A concrete simulation happens inside an object that inherits from the abstract class *Simulator* which has the following signature:

```
abstract class Simulator {  
  def currentTime: Int  
  def afterDelay(delay: Int)(block: ⇒ Unit): Unit  
  def run(): Unit  
}
```

Here,

*currentTime* returns the current simulated time in the form of an integer.

*afterDelay* registers an action to perform after a certain delay (relative to the current time, *currentTime*).

*run* performs the simulation until there are no more actions waiting.

## The Wire Class

A wire must support three base operations:

- *getSignal: Boolean* returns the current value of the signal transported by the wire.
- *setSignal(sig: Boolean): Unit* modifies the value of the signal transported by the wire.
- *addAction(a: Action): Unit* attaches the specified procedure to the *actions* of the wire. All of the attached actions are executed at each change of the transported signal.

Here is an implementation of the class *Wire*:

```

class Wire {
  private var sigVal = false
  private var actions: List[Action] = List()
  def getSignal: Boolean = sigVal
  def setSignal(s: Boolean) {
    if (s != sigVal) {
      sigVal = s
      actions foreach (_())
    }
  }
  def addAction(a: Action) {
    actions = a :: actions
    a()
  }
}

```

The state of a wire is modeled by two private variables:

- The *sigVal* variable represents the current value of the signal.
- The *actions* variable represents the actions currently attached to the wire.

25

## The Inverter

We implement the inverter by installing an action on its input wire.

This action produces the inverse of the input signal on the output wire.

The change must be effective after a delay of *InverterDelay* units of simulated time.

We thus obtain the following implementation:

```

def inverter(input: Wire, output: Wire) {
  def invertAction() {
    val inputSig = input.getSignal
    afterDelay(InverterDelay) { output setSignal !inputSig }
  }
  input addAction invertAction
}

```

26

## The AND Gate

The AND gate is implemented in a similar way.

The action of an AND gate produces the conjunction of input signals on the output wire.

This must happen after a delay of *AndGateDelay* units of simulated time.

We thus obtain the following implementation:

```
def andGate(a1: Wire, a2: Wire, output: Wire) {  
  def andAction() {  
    val a1Sig = a1.getSignal  
    val a2Sig = a2.getSignal  
    afterDelay(AndGateDelay) { output setSignal (a1Sig & a2Sig) }  
  }  
  a1 addAction andAction  
  a2 addAction andAction  
}
```

**Exercise:** Write the implementation of the OR gate.

**Exercise:** The OR gate can be defined in the same way by combining inverters and AND gates. Define a function *orGate* in terms of *andGate* and *inverter*. What is the delay of this component?

## The Simulation Class

All we have left to do now is to implement the class *Simulator*.

The idea is to keep, in the *Simulator* object, an **agenda** of actions to perform.

This agenda is a list of pairs. Each pair is composed of an action and the time when it must be produced.

The agenda list is sorted in such a way that the actions to be performed first are in the beginning.

```
abstract class Simulator {  
  case class WorkItem(time: Int, action: Action)  
  private type Agenda = List[WorkItem]  
  private var agenda: Agenda = List()
```

There is also a private variable, *curtime*, that contains the current simulation time: **private var** *curtime* = 0

An application of the *afterDelay(delay)(block)* method inserts the task *WorkItem(curtime + delay, () => block)* into the agenda list at the right position.

An application of the *run* method removes successive elements from the agenda, and performs the associated actions.

This process continues until the agenda is empty:

```
def run() {  
  afterDelay(0) {  
    println("*** simulation started, time = "+currentTime+" ***")  
  }  
  while (!agenda.isEmpty) next()  
}
```

The *run* method uses the *next* function, which removes the first action in the agenda, executes it, and updates the current time.

The implementations of *next* and *afterDelay* are left as an exercise.

## Launching the Simulation

Before launching the simulation, we still need a way to examine the changes of the signals on the wires.

To this end, we define the function *probe*.

```
def probe(name: String, wire: Wire) {
  def probeAction() {
    println(name + " " + currentTime + " value = " + wire.getSignal)
  }
  wire addAction probeAction
}
```

We now define four wires and we place probes.

```
scala> val input1, input2, sum, carry = new Wire
scala> probe("sum", sum)
scala> probe("carry", carry)
```

Next, we define a half-adder using these wires:

```
scala> halfAdder(input1, input2, sum, carry)
```

We now give the value **true** to *input1* and launch the simulation:

```
scala> input1.setSignal(true); run
*** simulation started, time = 0 ***
sum 0 value = false
carry 0 value = false
sum 8 value = true
scala> input2.setSignal(true); run
*** simulation started, time = 8 ***
carry 11 value = true
sum 15 value = false
```

etc.



## Summary

- State and assignments make our mental model of computation more complicated.
- In particular, we lose referential transparency.
- On the other hand, the assignment allows us to formulate certain programs in an elegant way.
- Example: discrete event simulation.
- Here, a system is represented by a mutable list of *actions*.
- The procedures of actions, when they're called, change the state of objects and can also put in place other actions for the future.
- Like always, the choice between functional and imperative programming must be made depending on the situation.