## Mid-term Exam

Type Systems
December 20, 2006
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| Exercise | Points | Achieved Points |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10 |  |
| 2 | 10 |  |
| 3 | 10 |  |
| Total | 30 |  |

## Exercice 1: Normal Forms (10 points)

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of variables and $\Lambda$ the set of $\lambda$-terms.
Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \Lambda$ be the set of normal forms $\left(\mathcal{N}=\left\{t \in \Lambda \mid \nexists t^{\prime} \in \Lambda: t \rightarrow t^{\prime}\right\}\right)$.
We define inductively the subset $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda$ :

$$
(\operatorname{VAR}) \frac{x \in \mathcal{V}}{x \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime}} \quad(\mathrm{ABS}) \frac{x \in \mathcal{V} \quad t \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime}}{\lambda x . t \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime}} \quad\left(\operatorname{APP}_{n}\right) \frac{x \in \mathcal{V} \quad t_{1} \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \cdots \quad t_{n} \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime}}{x t_{1} \cdots t_{n} \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime}} n \in \mathbb{N}, n>0
$$

Show that $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}=\mathcal{N}$.
Hint: Show that if $t \in \mathcal{N}$ then $t \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ by induction on $t \in \Lambda$ and that if $t \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ then $t \in \mathcal{N}$ by induction on the derivation $t \in \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$.

## Exercice 2 : Typed Arithmetic Expressions (10 points)

We first recall the syntax for arithmetic expressions (TAPL, p.91):

| $t::=$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $\|$true <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> ifalse $t$ then $t$ else $t$ <br> 0 <br> succ $t$ <br> pred $t$ <br> iszero $t$ |


and the evaluation rules for numbers (TAPL, p.41):

$$
(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{SuCC}) \frac{t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime}}{\text { succ } t_{1} \longrightarrow \text { succ } t_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

(E-PredZERO) pred $0 \longrightarrow 0 \quad$ (E-IsZEROZERO) iszero $0 \longrightarrow$ true
(E-PredSucc) pred $\left(\right.$ succ $\left.n v_{1}\right) \longrightarrow n v_{1} \quad$ (E-IsZeroSucc) iszero $\left(\right.$ succ $\left.n v_{1}\right) \longrightarrow$ false

$$
\text { (E-PRED) } \frac{t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime}}{\text { pred } t_{1} \longrightarrow \text { pred } t_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

(E-IsZERO) $\frac{t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime}}{\text { iszero } t_{1} \longrightarrow \text { iszero } t_{1}^{\prime}}$
Suppose we remove the E-PredZero rule.
Does progress still hold? What about preservation?
Change the definition of values in the modified language such that both progress and preservation hold. However, you are not allowed to reintroduce E-PredZero or to add another reduction rule for terms of the form $\operatorname{pred}(x)$.

## Exercice 3: Option Types (10 points)

We extend the syntax for the simply typed $\lambda$-calculus (TAPL, p.103) with option types in a similar way as in Scala, e.g.

```
(\o: option Nat. o match {
    case some x => x
    case none => 0
}) some (succ 0)
```

The meaning of the above is that when $o$ is an instance of some, the first branch is selected and $x$ takes the value carried inside $o$. When $o$ is an instance of none, the second branch is evaluated. As a rule of thumb, the evaluation rules should match Scala's behavior, like call-byvalue evaluation order and the usual meaning for match. The language should also allow you to create values of both kinds.

Formalize this extension. Your solution should include a grammar extension (for terms, values and types), evaluation rules and typing rules for the new terms. Typing rules should peserve type safety and make it impossible to find two different types for the same term (uniqueness of types). (There is no need to prove these properties).

