Type Reconstruction and Polymorphism

Week 9 December 20

Martin Odersky

1

Type Checking and Type Reconstruction

We now come to the question of type checking and type reconstruction.

Type checking: Given Γ , *t* and *T*, check whether $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

Type reconstruction: Given Γ and t, find a type T such that $\Gamma \vdash t: T$

Type checking and reconstruction seem difficult since parameters in lambda calculus do not carry their types with them.

Type reconstruction also suffers from the problem that a term can have many types.

Idea: : We construct all type derivations in parallel, reducing type reconstruction to a unification problem.

 2

From Judgements to Equations

$TP: Judgement \rightarrow Equations$		
$TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) =$		
$\mathbf{case} \ t \ \mathbf{of}$		
x	:	$\{\Gamma(x) \mathrel{\hat{=}} T\}$
$\lambda x.t'$:	let a, b fresh in
		$\{(a ightarrow b) \ \hat{=} \ T\} \cup$
		$TP(\Gamma, x: a \ \vdash \ t': b)$
$t \; t'$:	let a fresh in
		$TP(\Gamma \ \vdash \ t: a \to T) \bigcup$
		$TP(\Gamma \vdash t':a)$
		3

Constants

Constants are treated as variables in the initial environment.

However, we have to make sure we create a new instance of their type as follows:

```
newInstance(\forall a_1, \dots, a_n.S) =
let \ b_1, \dots, b_n \ fresh \ in
[b_1/a_1, \dots, b_n/a_n]S
TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) =
case \ t \ of
x \quad : \quad \{newInstance(\Gamma(x)) \triangleq T\}
\dots
```

4

Soundness and Completeness I

Definition: In general, a type reconstruction algorithm \mathcal{A} assigns to an environment Γ and a term t a set of types $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, t)$.

The algorithm is sound if for every type $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, t)$ we can prove the judgement $\Gamma \vdash t: T$.

The algorithm is complete if for every provable judgement $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ we have that $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, t)$.

5

Theorem: *TP* is sound and complete. Specifically:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \Gamma \ \vdash \ t:T & \mbox{iff} & \exists \overline{b}.[T/a] EQNS \\ & \mbox{where} \\ & a \ \mbox{is a new type variable} \\ & EQNS = TP(\Gamma \ \vdash \ t:a) \end{array}$

 $\overline{b} = tv(EQNS) \backslash tv(\Gamma)$

Here, tv denotes the set of free type varibales (of a term, and environment, an equation set).

Type Reconstruction and Unification

Problem: : Transform set of equations

 $\{T_i \stackrel{\circ}{=} U_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$

into equivalent substitution

 $\{a_j \mathrel{\hat{=}} T'_j\}_{j=1,\,\ldots,\,n}$

where type variables do not appear recursively on their right hand sides (directly or indirectly). That is:

$a_j \not\in tv(T'_k)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n, k = j, \ldots, n$

Substitutions

A substitution s is an idempotent mapping from type variables to types which maps all but a finite number of type variables to themselves.

6

We often represent a substitution is as set of equations $a \stackrel{\circ}{=} T$ with a not in tv(T).

Substitutions can be generalized to mappings from types to types by definining

 $\begin{aligned} s(T \to U) &= sT \to sU \\ s(K[T_1, \ldots, T_n]) &= K[sT_1, \ldots, sT_n] \end{aligned}$

Substitutions are idempotent mappings from types to types, i.e. s(s(T)) = s(T). (why?)

The opperator denotes composition of substitutions (or other functions): $(f \circ g) x = f(gx)$.

A Unification Algorithm

We present an incremental version of Robinson's algorithm (1965).

```
\begin{array}{lll} mgu & : & (Type \stackrel{\circ}{=} Type) \rightarrow Subst \rightarrow Subst \\ mgu(T \stackrel{\circ}{=} U) \ s & = & mgu'(sT \stackrel{\circ}{=} sU) \ s \\ mgu'(a \stackrel{\circ}{=} a) \ s & = & s \\ mgu'(a \stackrel{\circ}{=} T) \ s & = & s \cup \{a \stackrel{\circ}{=} T\} & \text{if } a \notin tv(T) \\ mgu'(T \stackrel{\circ}{=} a) \ s & = & s \cup \{a \stackrel{\circ}{=} T\} & \text{if } a \notin tv(T) \\ mgu'(T \rightarrow T' \stackrel{\circ}{=} U \rightarrow U') \ s & = & (mgu(T' \stackrel{\circ}{=} U') \circ mgu(T \stackrel{\circ}{=} U)) \ s \\ mgu'(K[T_1, \dots, T_n] \stackrel{\circ}{=} K[U_1, \dots, U_n]) \ s \\ & = & (mgu(T_n \stackrel{\circ}{=} U_n) \circ \dots \circ mgu(T_1 \stackrel{\circ}{=} U_1)) \ s \\ mgu'(T \stackrel{\circ}{=} U) \ s & = & error & \text{in all other cases} \end{array}
```

9

Soundness and Completeness of Unification

Definition: A substitution u is a unifier of a set of equations $\{T_i \stackrel{c}{=} U_i\}_{i=1,...,m}$ if $uT_i = uU_i$, for all i. It is a most general unifier if for every other unifier u' of the same equations there exists a substitution s such that $u' = s \circ u$.

Theorem: Given a set of equations EQNS. If EQNS has a unifier then mgu EQNS {} computes the most general unifier of EQNS. If EQNS has no unifier then mgu EQNS {} fails.

10

Term Judgements to Substitutions $TP: Judgement \rightarrow Subst \rightarrow Subst$ $TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) =$ case t of $x \quad : \quad mgu(newInstance(\Gamma x) \triangleq T)$ $\lambda x.t' \quad : \quad let t, u \text{ fresh in}$ $mgu((t \rightarrow u) \triangleq T) \circ$ $TP(\Gamma, x: t \vdash t': u)$ $tt' \quad : \quad let t \text{ fresh in}$ $TP(\Gamma \vdash t: a \rightarrow T) \circ$ $TP(\Gamma \vdash t': a)$

Soundness and Completeness II

One can show by comparison with the previous algorithm:

Theorem: *TP* is sound and complete. Specifically:

 $\Gamma \ \vdash \ t:T \quad \text{iff} \quad T = r(s(t))$

where

t is a new type variable $s = TP \ (\Gamma \vdash t: t) \{\}$ r is a substitution on $tv(s t) \setminus tv(s \Gamma)$

Strong Normalization

Question: Can Ω be given a type?

 $\Omega = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx):?$

What about *Y*? Self-application is not typable!

In fact, we have more:

Theorem: (Strong Normalization) If $\vdash t: T$, then there is a value V such that $t \rightarrow^* V$.

Corollary: Simply typed lambda calculus is not Turing complete.

13

Polymorphism

In the simply typed lambda calculus, a term can have many types. But a variable or parameter has only one type. Example:

$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$

is untypable. But if we substitute actual parameter for formal, we obtain

$(\lambda y.y)(\lambda y.y):a\rightarrow a$

Functions which can be applied to arguments of many types are called polymorphic.

14

Polymorphism in Programming

Polymorphism is essential for many program patterns.

Example: ensuremath{itboxmap

```
def map f xs =
    if (isEmpty (xs)) nil
    else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs))
```

```
•••
```

```
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
```

```
• • •
```

```
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

Without a polymorphic type for ensuremath{itboxmap one of the last two lines is always illegal!

Forms of Polymorphism

Polymorphism means "having many forms".

Polymorphism also comes in several forms.

- Universal polymorphism, sometimes also called generic types: The ability to instantiate type variables.
- Inclusion polymorphism, sometimes also called subtyping: The ability to treat a value of a subtype as a value of one of its supertypes.
- Ad-hoc polymorphism, sometimes also called overloading: The ability to define several versions of the same function name, with different types.

We first concentrate on universal polymorphism.

Two basic approaches: explicit or implicit.

Explicit Polymorphism

We introduce a polymorphic type $\forall a.T$, which can be used just as any other type.

We then need to make introduction and elimination of $\forall 's$ explicit. Typing rules:

 $(\forall \mathbf{E}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall a.T}{\Gamma \vdash t[U] : [U/a]T} \qquad (\forall \mathbf{I}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda a.t : \forall a.T}$

We also need to give all parameter types, so programs become verbose.

Example:

```
def map [a][b] (f: a \(\Arrow\) b) (xs: List[a]) =
    if (isEmpty [a] (xs)) nil [a]
    else cons [b] (f (head [a] xs)) (map [a][b] (f, tail [a] xs))
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
...
map [String] [String] toUpperCase names
map [Int] [Int] increment nums
```

17

Implicit Polymorphism

Implicit polymorphism does not require annotations for parameter types or type instantations.

Idea: In addition to types (as in simply typed lambda calculus), we have a new syntactic category of type schemes. Syntax:

Type Scheme
$$S ::= T \mid \forall a.S$$

Type schemes are not fully general types; they are used only to type named values, introduced by a ensuremath{itboxval construct.

The resulting type system is called the Hindley/Milner system, after its inventors. (The original treatment uses ensuremath{itboxlet...in... rather than ensuremath{itboxval...;...}.

Hindley/Milner Typing rules

$$(VAR) \ \Gamma, x : S, \Gamma' \vdash x : S \qquad (x \notin dom(\Gamma'))$$

$$(\forall E) \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall a.T}{\Gamma \vdash t : [U/a]T} \qquad (\forall I) \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T \quad a \notin tv(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall a.T}$$

$$(LET) \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : S \qquad \Gamma, x : S \vdash t' : T}{\Gamma \vdash let \ x = t \ in \ t' : T}$$
The other two rules are as in simply typed lambda calculus:

18

The other two rules are as in simply typed lambda calculus:

$$\begin{array}{c} (-) \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma) \end{array} \frac{ \left[\Gamma, x: T \ \vdash \ t: U \right] }{ \Gamma \ \vdash \ \lambda x.t: T \rightarrow U } (\rightarrow E) \ \frac{ \left[\Gamma \ \vdash \ M: T \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \ \vdash \ N: T \right] }{ \Gamma \ \vdash \ M \ N: U } \end{array}$$

Hindley/Milner in Programming Languages

Here is a formulation of the map example in the Hindley/Milner system.

```
let map = $\lambda$f.$\lambda$xs in
    if (isEmpty (xs)) nil
    else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs))
...
// names: List[String]
// nums : List[Int]
// map : $\forall$a.$\forall$b.(a $\rightarrow$ b) $\rightarrow$
...
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

Limitations of Hindley/Milner

Hindley/Milner still does not parameter types to be polymorphic. I.e.

$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$

is still ill-typed, even though the following is well-typed:

let $id = \lambda y.y$ in id id

With explicit polymorphism the expression could be completed to a well-typed term:

```
(\Lambda a.\lambda x: (\forall a: a \to a).x[a \to a](x[a]))(\Lambda b.\lambda y.y)
```

22

The Essence of let

We regard

let x = t in t'

21

as a shorthand for

[t/x]t'

We use this equivalence to get a revised Hindley/Milner system.

Definition: Let HM' be the type system that results if we replace rule (LET) from the Hindley/Milner system HM by:

$$(\text{Let'}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t:T \qquad \Gamma \ \vdash \ [t/x]t':U}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ \textit{let} \ x = t \ \textit{in} \ t':U}$$

Theorem: $\Gamma \vdash_{HM} t: S \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_{HM'} t: S$

The theorem establishes the following connection between the Hindley/Milner system and the simply typed lambda calculus F_1 :

Corollary: Let t^* be the result of expanding all *let*'s in *t* according to the rule

$$\mathbf{let} \ x = t \ \mathbf{in} \ t' \quad \to \quad [t/x]t'$$

Then

 $\Gamma \vdash_{HM} t: T \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash_{F_1} t^*: T$

Furthermore, if every *let*-bound name is used at least once, we also have the reverse:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{F_1} t^*: T \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash_{HM} t: T$$

Principal Types

Definition: A type T is a generic instance of a type scheme $S = \forall \alpha_1 \dots \forall \alpha_n . T'$ if there is a substitution s on $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that T = sT'. We write in this case $S \leq T$.

Definition: A type scheme S' is a generic instance of a type scheme S iff for all types T

$$S' \leq T \Rightarrow S \leq T$$

We write in this case $S \leq S'$.

25

Definition: A type scheme *S* is principal (or: most general) for Γ and *t* iff • $\Gamma \vdash t : S$ • $\Gamma \vdash t : S'$ implies $S \leq S'$

Definition: A type system TS has the principal typing property iff, whenever $\Gamma \vdash_{TS} t: S$ then there exists a principal type scheme for Γ

Theorem:

and <u>t</u>.

- 1. HM' without **let** has the p.t.p.
- 2. HM' with **let** has the p.t.p.
- 3. HM has the p.t.p.

Proof sketch: (1.): Use type reconstruction result for the simply typed lambda calculus. (2.): Expand all *let*'s and apply (1.). (3.): Use equivalence between HM and HM'.

These observations could be used to come up with a type reconstruction algorithm for HM. But in practice one takes a more direct approach.

Type Reconstruction for Hindley/Milner

Type reconstruction for the Hindley/Milner system works as for simply typed lambda calculus. We only have to add a clause for let expressions:

26

