

# Type Systems

Lecture 10 Dec. 22nd, 2004  
Sebastian Maneth

<http://lampwww.epfl.ch/teaching/typeSystems/2004>

Today

F-sub: kernel / full

1. System F-sub
2. Properties of F-sub
3. Algorithmic Typing for F-Sub
4. Algorithmic *Sub*typing for F-Sub
5. Joins and Meets

## 1. System F-Sub

### Bounded Quantification

f2poly =  $\lambda x <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \lambda x:X. \{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\};$

Has type  $\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}$

## 1. System F-Sub

### Bounded Quantification

f2poly =  $\lambda X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \lambda x:X. \{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\};$

Has type  $\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}$

How to derive it?

$\vdash \lambda X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \lambda x:X. \{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\}:$   
 $\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}$

# 1. System F-Sub

## Bounded Quantification

type abstraction (remove  $\forall$ )

If bound satisfied, then term has specified type

$$\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\} \vdash \lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}{\vdash \lambda X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}.\lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \forall X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}$$

# 1. System F-Sub

lambda abstraction (remove  $\rightarrow$ )

If argument type satisfied, then result term has specified result type.

$$\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\} \vdash \lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}$$

$$\vdash \lambda X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}.\lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \forall X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}$$

# 1. System F-Sub

make record (remove { })

field terms have specified types

$$\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:X \quad X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \text{succ}(x.a):\text{Nat}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}$$

$$\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\} \vdash \lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}{\vdash \lambda X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}.\lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \forall X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}$$

# 1. System F-Sub

what now?

$$\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:\{a:\text{Nat}\}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x.a:\text{Nat}}$$

$$\frac{\text{ok} \quad X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:X \quad X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \text{succ}(x.a):\text{Nat}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}$$

$$\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\} \vdash \lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}{\vdash \lambda X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}.\lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \forall X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}$$

### 1. System F-Sub

what now? → **subsumption!**

term may be of any subtype

$$\frac{\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:X \quad X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:\{a:\text{Nat}\}} \quad \text{ok}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:X} \quad \frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:\{a:\text{Nat}\}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x.a:\text{Nat}} \quad \text{ok}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \text{succ}(x.a):\text{Nat}} \quad \text{ok}}{\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\} \vdash \lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\}:X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}} \quad \text{ok}}{\vdash \lambda X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}.\lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\}: \forall X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}}$$

### 1. System F-Sub

$$\frac{\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:X \quad X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:\{a:\text{Nat}\}} \quad \text{ok}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:X} \quad \frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x:\{a:\text{Nat}\}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash x.a:\text{Nat}} \quad \text{ok}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \text{succ}(x.a):\text{Nat}} \quad \text{ok}}{\frac{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}, x:X \vdash \{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\} : \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}{X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\} \vdash \lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\}:X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}} \quad \text{ok}}{\vdash \lambda X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}.\lambda x:X.\{\text{orig}=x, \text{asucc}=\text{succ}(x.a)\}: \forall X<:\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}}}$$

### 1. System F-Sub

**new typing rule**

type abstraction (remove  $\forall$ )

If bound satisfied, then term has specified type

$$\frac{\Gamma, X<:B \vdash t:T}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda X<:B.t : \forall X<:B.T}$$

### 1. System F-Sub

As in System F: to **apply** a polymorphic function, we have to **supply a concrete SUBtype C**.

( f2poly [{a:Nat, b:Bool}] ) {a=5, b=true}

## 1. System F-Sub

As in System F: to **apply** a polymorphic function,  
we have to **supply a concrete SUBtype C**.  
C: {a:Nat}

$\forall x::\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}$

↑

f2poly [{a:Nat, b:Bool}]

↓

{a:Nat, b:Bool} → {orig:{a:Nat,b:Bool}, asucc:Nat}

## 1. System F-Sub

How to derive it?

---

$\vdash \text{f2poly } [{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}}]:$   
 $\{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\} \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:\{a:\text{Nat},b:\text{Bool}\}, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}$

## 1. System F-Sub

How to derive it?

Applying  $[X/\{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\}]$  must give specified type

↓

$\vdash \text{f2poly}: \forall x::\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\} \quad \vdash \{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\} <:\{a:\text{Nat}\}$

---

$\vdash \text{f2poly } [{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}}]:$   
 $\{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\} \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:\{a:\text{Nat},b:\text{Bool}\}, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}$

## 1. System F-Sub

(record subtyping)

ok

ok

$\vdash \text{f2poly}: \forall x::\{a:\text{Nat}\}. X \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:X, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\} \quad \vdash \{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\} <:\{a:\text{Nat}\}$

---

$\vdash \text{f2poly } [{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}}]:$   
 $\{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\} \rightarrow \{\text{orig}:\{a:\text{Nat},b:\text{Bool}\}, \text{asucc}:\text{Nat}\}$

## 1. System F-Sub

new typing rule

type application (remove [ ])

t polymorphic with bound  $X <: B$     C subtype of B

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall X <: B. T \quad \Gamma \vdash C <: B}{\Gamma \vdash t[C] : [X/C]T}$$

## 1. System F-Sub

Typing Rules

- Usual lambda-rules (T-VAR, T-ABS, T-APP)
- type abstraction
- type application (uses subtyping on bounds!)
- subsumption (uses subtyping!)

## 1. System F-Sub

Subtyping Rules

→ reflexivity, transitivity, Top (evth. is  $<: \text{Top}$ )

→ type variables:

$$\frac{X <: T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash X <: T}$$

→ function (S-ARROW)

(covariant on result,  
contravariant on argument)

## 1. System F-Sub

new subtyping rule (for quantified types)

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B_1. T_1 <: \forall X <: B_2. T_2}$$

## 1. System F-Sub

new subtyping rule (for quantified types)

$$\frac{\Gamma, X <: B \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B_1. T_1 <: \forall X <: B_2. T_2}$$

which bound??

## 1. System F-Sub

new subtyping rule (for quantified types)

$$\text{"the kernel rule"} \quad \frac{\Gamma, X <: B \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B. T_1 <: \forall X <: B. T_2}$$

Must have **SAME** bound B

→ with this simple rule: **Kernel F-Sub**

## 1. System F-Sub

$$\text{"the kernel rule"} \quad \frac{\Gamma, X <: B \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B. T_1 <: \forall X <: B. T_2}$$

$\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\} <: \forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \{a:\text{Nat}\}$

If expected type is  $\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \{a:\text{Nat}\}$   
 then also fu. of type  $\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\}$  is OK!

## 1. System F-Sub

$$\text{"the kernel rule"} \quad \frac{\Gamma, X <: B \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B. T_1 <: \forall X <: B. T_2}$$

$\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\} <: \forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \{a:\text{Nat}\}$

If expected type is  $\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \{a:\text{Nat}\}$   
 then also fu. of type  $\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}\}. \{a:\text{Nat}, b:\text{Bool}\}$  is OK!

→ Will only be instantiated by subtypes x of  $\{a:\text{Nat}\}$

THUS,  $\forall X <: \text{Top}$  is OK too, because it asks for less! (for the least, actually..)

$\forall X <: \{a:\text{Nat}, d:\text{Nat}\}$  NOT OK, because we only know X will be  $<: \{a:\text{Nat}\}$

## 1. System F-Sub

Full F-Sub

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B_2 <: B_1 \quad \Gamma, X <: B_2 \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B_1. T_1 <: \forall X <: B_2. T_2}$$

- covariant on result
- contravariant on bounds

## 2. Properties of F-Sub

### Preservation

If  $t \vdash t : T$  and  $t \rightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

### Progress

If  $t$  is a closed and well-typed term, then either  
 $t$  is a value, or  
 $t \rightarrow t'$  for some term  $t'$ .

Proofs: Induction on the structure of terms.

→ Use canonical forms lemma:

If  $v$  is closed value of type  $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ , then  $v = \lambda x : S_1. t_2$   
 If  $v$  is closed value of type  $\forall X <: T_1. T_2$ , then  $v = \lambda X <: T_1. t_2$ .

## 3. Algorithmic Typing for F-Sub

Idea of type checking algorithm for simply typed lambda-calculus w. subtyping:

→ calculate the *minimal type* of terms

Apply same idea for F-Sub!

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}, y : X \vdash y : \text{Nat}}{\quad}}{X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \vdash \lambda y : X. y : X \rightarrow \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \lambda X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}. \lambda y : X. y : \forall X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}. X \rightarrow \text{Nat}}$$

↑ Now application, and then subsumption, and all is OK!

## 3. Algorithmic Typing for F-Sub

Idea of type checking algorithm for simply typed lambda-calculus w. subtyping:

→ calculate the *minimal type* of terms

Apply same idea for F-Sub!

→ subsumption is NOT syntax-directed!! Can we do without??

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}, y : X \vdash y : \text{Nat}}{\quad}}{X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \vdash \lambda y : X. y : X \rightarrow \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \lambda X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}. \lambda y : X. y : \forall X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}. X \rightarrow \text{Nat}}$$

↑ Now application, and then subsumption, and all is OK!

### 3. Algorithmic Typing for F-Sub

→ subsumption is NOT syntax-directed!! Can we do without??

$$\boxed{X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}, y : X} \vdash y \ 5 : \text{Nat}$$

↑  
Now application, and then subsumption, and all is OK!

→ y must be arrow type!

→ smallest (non-variable) arrow type, that is a supertype of X

$$\Gamma \vdash X \uparrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$$

"X exposes to Nat → Nat under Γ"

### 3. Algorithmic Typing for F-Sub

Exposure:

$$\frac{X <: T \in \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash T \uparrow T'}{\Gamma \vdash X \uparrow T'} \quad \frac{T \text{ is not a type variable}}{\Gamma \vdash T \uparrow T}$$

Example:  $\Gamma = X <: \text{Nat}, Y <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}, Z <: Y, W <: Z$

Then  $\Gamma \vdash Z \uparrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash W \uparrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

**Lemma.** If  $\Gamma \vdash S \uparrow T$ , then

- (1)  $\Gamma \vdash S <: T$
- (2) If  $\Gamma \vdash S <: U$  and U is not a variable, then  $\Gamma \vdash T <: U$ .

### 3. Algorithmic Typing for F-Sub

New rule for application, includes argument subsumption:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash T_1 \uparrow (D \rightarrow E) \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash T_2 <: D}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \ t_2 : E} \text{ TA-APP}$$

In Example:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash y : X \quad \Gamma \vdash X \uparrow (\text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}) \quad \Gamma \vdash 5 : \text{Nat} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{Nat} <: \text{Nat}}{\underbrace{X <: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}, y : X}_{\Gamma} \vdash y \ 5 : \text{Nat}} \text{ TA-APP}$$

### 3. Algorithmic Typing for F-Sub

New rule for type application, includes argument subsumption:

$$\text{Old: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall X <: B. T \quad \Gamma \vdash C <: B}{\Gamma \vdash t [C] : [X/C]T}$$

$$\text{New: } \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash T_1 \uparrow \forall X <: B. T \quad \Gamma \vdash C <: B}{\Gamma \vdash t [C] : [X/C]T} \text{ TA-TAPP}$$



### 3. Algorithmic Typing for F-Sub

$\vdash =$   $\underbrace{\text{T-VAR, T-ABS, T-APP}}_{\text{as in simply typed}}, \text{T-TABS, T-TAPP, T-SUB}$

$\vdash =$  T-VAR, T-ABS, **TA-APP**, T-TABS, **TA-TAPP**

**Theorem.** (correctness of minimal typing)

- (1) If  $\Gamma \vdash t:T$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t:T$  (soundness)  
(2) If  $\Gamma \vdash t:T$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t:M$  with  $\Gamma \vdash M<:T$ . (completeness)

**Corollary.** The relation  $\vdash$  is decidable, given a decision procedure for the subtype relation.

### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

#### Subtyping Rules

- reflexivity, transitivity, Top (evth. is  $<:\text{Top}$ )
- type variables
- function (S-ARROW)
- quantified types (kernel / full)

### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

#### Problematic Subtyping Rules

- reflexivity, transitivity, Top
- type variables
- function (S-ARROW)
- quantified types (kernel / full)

### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

#### Problematic Subtyping Rules

- reflexivity, transitivity, Top
- type variables
- function (S-ARROW)
- quantified types (kernel / full)

Reflexivity is ONLY needed for variables:  $\Gamma \vdash X<:X$  not derivable without it!

- Replace **reflexivity** by **new rule**:  $\Gamma \vdash X<:X$ .

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

##### Problematic Subtyping Rules

- $\Gamma \vdash X <: X$ , **transitivity**, Top
- type variables
- function (S-ARROW)
- quantified types (kernel / full)

Transitivity:  $\Gamma = W <: \text{Top}, V <: W, U <: V, X <: U$

$\Gamma \vdash Z <: W$  can ONLY be proved using transitivity.

$$\frac{\frac{X <: U \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash X <: U} \quad \vdots}{\Gamma \vdash X <: W}$$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

##### Problematic Subtyping Rules

- $\Gamma \vdash X <: X$ , **transitivity**, Top
- type variables
- function (S-ARROW)
- quantified types (kernel / full)

Transitivity:  $\Gamma = T <: \text{Top}, V <: T, U <: V, X <: U$

$\Gamma \vdash Z <: W$  can ONLY be proved using transitivity.

$$\frac{\frac{X <: U \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash X <: U} \quad \vdots}{\Gamma \vdash X <: T} \quad \leftarrow \text{ONLY essential use of transitivity!}$$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

##### Algorithmic Subtyping Rules

- $\Gamma \vdash X <: X$ , Top
- function (S-ARROW)
- quantified types (kernel / full)

→ Replace **transitivity**, and **type vars** by **new rule**:

$$\frac{X <: U \in \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash U <: T}{\Gamma \vdash X <: T}$$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

##### Algorithmic Subtyping Rules (kernel)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash S <: \text{Top} \quad \frac{X <: U \in \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash U <: T}{\Gamma \vdash X <: T}}{\Gamma \vdash X <: X}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 <: S_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash S_2 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash S_1 \rightarrow S_2 <: T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma, X <: B \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B. T_1 <: \forall X <: B. T_2}$$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

##### Algorithmic Subtyping Rules (kernel)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash S <: \text{Top}}{\Gamma \vdash X <: X}$$

$$\frac{X <: U \in \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash U <: T}{\Gamma \vdash X <: T}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 <: S_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash S_2 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash S_1 \rightarrow S_2 <: T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, X <: B \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B. T_1 <: \forall X <: B. T_2}$$

**Theorem.**  $\Gamma \vdash S <: T$  if and only if  $\Gamma \vdash S <: T$ .

**Theorem.** The subtyping algorithm terminates on all inputs.

→ Subtyping in kernel F-sub is decidable.

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

##### Algorithmic Subtyping Rules (FULL)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash S <: \text{Top}}{\Gamma \vdash X <: X}$$

$$\frac{X <: U \in \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash U <: T}{\Gamma \vdash X <: T}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 <: S_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash S_2 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash S_1 \rightarrow S_2 <: T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$$
~~$$\frac{\Gamma, X <: B \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B. T_1 <: \forall X <: B. T_2}$$~~

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B_2 <: B_1 \quad \Gamma, X <: B_2 \vdash T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall X <: B_1. T_1 <: \forall X <: B_2. T_2}$$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

##### Algorithmic Subtyping Rules (FULL) do not terminate!!

→ Construct a vicious circle:

Define  $\neg S = \forall X <: S. X$

Then,  $\Gamma \vdash \neg S <: \neg T$  iff  $T <: S$ . (Contravariance on bounds..)

$T := \forall X <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall Y <: X. \neg Y)$

Try to show that

$$X_0 <: T \quad \vdash \quad X_0 <: \forall X_1 <: X_0. \neg X_1$$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

##### Algorithmic Subtyping Rules (FULL) do not terminate!!

→ Construct a vicious circle:

Define  $\neg S = \forall X <: S. X$

Then,  $\Gamma \vdash \neg S <: \neg T$  iff  $T <: S$ . (Contravariance on bounds..)

$T := \forall X <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall Y <: X. \neg Y)$

Try to show that

$$\begin{array}{l} X_0 <: T \quad \vdash \quad X_0 <: \forall X_1 <: X_0. \neg X_1 \\ X_0 <: T \quad \vdash \quad \forall X_1 <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2) \quad \vdash \quad \forall X_1 <: X_0. \neg X_1 \end{array}$$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

Algorithmic Subtyping Rules (FULL) **do not terminate!!**  
 →Construct a vicious circle:

Define  $\neg S = \forall X <: S. X$

Then,  $\Gamma \vdash \neg S <: \neg T$  iff  $T <: S$ . (Contravariance on bounds..)

$T := \forall X <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall Y <: X. \neg Y)$

Try to show that

$X_0 <: T$	$\vdash$	$X_0 <: \forall X_1 <: X_0. \neg X_1$
$X_0 <: T$	$\vdash$	$\forall X_1 <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2)$
$X_0 <: T, X_1 <: X_0$	$\vdash$	$\neg(\forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2)$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

Algorithmic Subtyping Rules (FULL) **do not terminate!!**  
 →Construct a vicious circle:

Define  $\neg S = \forall X <: S. X$

Then,  $\Gamma \vdash \neg S <: \neg T$  iff  $T <: S$ . (Contravariance on bounds..)

$T := \forall X <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall Y <: X. \neg Y)$

Try to show that

$X_0 <: T$	$\vdash$	$X_0 <: \forall X_1 <: X_0. \neg X_1$
$X_0 <: T$	$\vdash$	$\forall X_1 <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2)$
$X_0 <: T, X_1 <: X_0$	$\vdash$	$\neg(\forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2)$
$X_0 <: T, X_1 <: X_0$	$\vdash$	$X_1 <: \forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2$

#### 4. Algorithmic Subtyping for F-Sub

Algorithmic Subtyping Rules (FULL) **do not terminate!!**  
 →Construct a vicious circle:

Define  $\neg S = \forall X <: S. X$

Then,  $\Gamma \vdash \neg S <: \neg T$  iff  $T <: S$ . (Contravariance on bounds..)

$T := \forall X <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall Y <: X. \neg Y)$

Try to show that

$X_0 <: T$	$\vdash$	$X_0 <: \forall X_1 <: X_0. \neg X_1$
$X_0 <: T$	$\vdash$	$\forall X_1 <: \text{Top}. \neg(\forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2)$
$X_0 <: T, X_1 <: X_0$	$\vdash$	$\neg(\forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2)$
$X_0 <: T, X_1 <: X_0$	$\vdash$	$X_1 <: \forall X_2 <: X_1. \neg X_2$

#### 5. Joins and Meets

How to type if-then-else, in the presence of subsumtion?

`if true then {x=true,y=false} else {x=false,z=true}`

What is the type of this term?

→  $\{x: \text{Bool}\}$  take the *least* (most precise) common supertype of S and T

or  $\{x: \text{Top}\}$ ?  
 $<$  = "the join of S and T"

or  $\{\}$ ?  
 $<$  = S  $\vee$  T

or  $\text{Top}$ ?  
 $<$

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T_2 \quad t_3 : T_3 \quad T = T_2 \vee T_3}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$

## 5. Joins and Meets

$$\Gamma \vdash S \vee T := \begin{array}{ll} T & \text{if } \Gamma \vdash S <: T \\ S & \text{if } \Gamma \vdash T <: S \\ J & \text{if } S=X, X <: U \in \Gamma, \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash U \vee T=J \\ J & \text{if } T=X, X <: U \in \Gamma, \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash S \vee U=J \\ \\ M \rightarrow J & \text{if } S=S_1 \rightarrow S_2, T=T_1 \rightarrow T_2, \\ & \Gamma \vdash S_1 \wedge T_1=M, \text{ and} \\ & \Gamma \vdash S_1 \vee T_2=J \\ \\ \forall X <: U. J_2 & \text{if } S= \forall X <: U. S_2 \\ & T= \forall X <: U. T_2 \\ & \Gamma, X <: U \vdash S_2 \vee T_2=J_2 \\ \\ \text{Top} & \text{otherwise} \end{array}$$

## 5. Joins and Meets

In kernel F-sub:

- every pair S,T has (effectively) a **join**
- if S and T have at least one subtype in common, then they have (effectively) a **meet**

In full F-sub: NO / NO

## Summary

formalism	comput. power	type checking	type reconstr.
Simply typed lambda calculus	normalizing	lin.time	poly.time
Simply typed lambda calculus + subtyping	normalizing	lin.time	poly.time
Featherweight Java	r.e. compl.	lin.time	
Let-Polymorphism / Prenex-Polymorphism	normalizing	lin.time	EXPTIME
System F	normalizing	lin.time	UNDEC.
System F + subtyping (kernel)	normalizing	poly.time	UNDEC.
System F + subtyping (full)	normalizing	UNDEC.	UNDEC.