Concurrent Process Expressions

January 7, 2002, 17:43

Uwe Nestmann

EPFL-LAMP

Composition/Product of LTS

structural: flow graphs

behavioral: graphs (model) vs algebra (syntax)

design decisions:

- what is interaction / synchronization ?
 - two-way vs multi-way
 - must vs may
- what is external / internal ?
 - implicitly hidden vs explicit hiding

"Structural" Product

- flow graphs depict the structure of a system: the linkage among components i.e., their externally visible buttons
- only informal use, here . . .

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.A'$$
 $A' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{b}.A$
 $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b.B'$
 $B' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{c}.B$

"Behavioral" Product

Let $A_i = (Q_i, T_i)$ be two LTS over $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{N} \cup \overline{\mathcal{N}}$.

$$A_{1} \times A_{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\operatorname{st}(Q_{1}, Q_{2}), \operatorname{tr}(T_{1}, T_{2}))$$

$$\operatorname{st}(Q_{1}, Q_{2}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{1} \times Q_{2} = \{ (q_{1}, q_{2}) \mid q_{1} \in Q_{1} \land q_{2} \in Q_{2} \}$$

$$\{ ((q_{1}, q_{2}), a, (q'_{1}, q_{2})) \mid (q_{1}, a, q'_{1}) \in T_{1} \}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_{1}, T_{2}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup \{ ((q_{1}, q_{2}), a, (q_{1}, q'_{2})) \mid (q_{2}, a, q'_{2}) \in T_{2} \}$$

 $\{((q_1,q_2),a,(q'_1,q'_2))\mid$

Compute the product $A \times B$ of the example.

Concurrent Process Expressions

- ${\mathcal I}$ process identifiers $A,B\dots$
- \mathcal{N} names $a,b,c\dots$
- $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ co-names $\overline{a},\overline{b},\overline{c}\dots$
- ${\mathcal L}$ labels (buttons) $:= {\mathcal N} \cup \overline{{\mathcal N}}$
- \mathcal{A} actions metavariables $\alpha, \beta \ldots \in \mathcal{L} \cup \{\tau\}$
- finite sequences \vec{a} . . .
- parametric processes $A\langle a, c \rangle \dots$
- defining equations $A(\vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dots$

Concurrent Process Expressions (II)

<u>Definition:</u> The set \mathcal{P} of conc. proc. exp. is defined (precisely) by the following BNF-syntax:

$$P ::= A\langle \vec{a} \rangle \quad | \quad M \quad | \quad P|P \quad | \quad (\nu a) P$$

$$M ::= \mathbf{0} \quad | \quad \alpha . P \quad | \quad M + M$$

We use P, Q, P_i to stand for process expressions.

- $(\nu a) P$ restricts the scope of a to P
- $(\nu ab) P$ abbreviates $(\nu a) (\nu b) P$
- $\sum_{i \in \{1..n\}} \alpha_i . P_i$ abbreviates $\alpha_1 . P_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n . P_n$

Concurrent Process Expressions (III)

precedence: unary binds tighter than binary

$$(\boldsymbol{\nu}a) P \mid Q = ((\boldsymbol{\nu}a) P) \mid Q$$
$$a.P + M = (a.P) + M$$

$$P|Q + R = (P|Q) + R$$

$$P + Q|R = P + (Q|R)$$

$$\{a/b\}M_1 + M_2 = (\{a/b\}M_1) + M_2$$

Bound and Free Names

- $(\nu a) P$ binds a in P
- a occurs **bound** in P, if it occurs in a subterm $(\nu a) Q$ of P
- a occurs **free** in P, if it occurs without enclosing $(\nu a) Q$ in P
- Define fn(P) and bn(P) inductively on \mathcal{P} (sets of free/bound names of P):

$$\operatorname{fn}(P_1|P_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dots$$

 $\operatorname{fn}((\boldsymbol{\nu}a)P) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dots$

α -Conversion & Substitution

• **substitution** $\{\vec{b}/\!\!/_{\vec{a}}\}P$ (for matching \vec{b} and \vec{a}) replaces *all* free occurrences of a_i in P by b_i .

$$\{b/a\}(\nu b) b.a = ?$$

- α -conversion, written $=_{\alpha}$: conflict-free renaming of bound names (no new name-bindings shall be generated)
- **substitution** $\{\vec{b}/\!\!/_{\vec{a}}\}P$ (for matching \vec{b} and \vec{a}) replaces *all* free occurrences of a_i in P by b_i , possibly enforcing α -conversion.

Examples

$$(\boldsymbol{\nu}a) (\overline{a}.\mathbf{0}|b.\mathbf{0}) =_{\alpha} (\boldsymbol{\nu}c) (\overline{c}.\mathbf{0}|b.\mathbf{0})$$

$$=_{\alpha} (\boldsymbol{\nu}b) (\overline{b}.\mathbf{0}|b.\mathbf{0})$$

$$\{a/b\} ((\boldsymbol{\nu}b) \, \overline{b}.\mathbf{0} \mid b.\mathbf{0}) =_{\alpha} ((\boldsymbol{\nu}b) \, \overline{a}.\mathbf{0} \mid a.\mathbf{0})$$

$$=_{\alpha} ((\boldsymbol{\nu}b) \, \overline{b}.\mathbf{0} \mid a.\mathbf{0})$$

$$\{a/b\} ((\boldsymbol{\nu}a) \, \overline{b}.a.\mathbf{0} \mid b.\mathbf{0}) =_{\alpha} ((\boldsymbol{\nu}a) \, \overline{a}.a.\mathbf{0} \mid a.\mathbf{0})$$

$$=_{\alpha} ((\boldsymbol{\nu}c) \, \overline{a}.c.\mathbf{0} \mid a.\mathbf{0})$$

Reaction, Informally . . .

- concurrent execution of two complementary actions within a process term, i.e., within the LTS associated with it.
- reaction is non-deterministic:

• find a way to **compute** the reactions . . .