Functions and Data

In this section we will learn how functions create and encapsulate data structures.

Example: Rational Numbers

We want to design a package to do rational arithmetic.

A rational number $\frac{x}{y}$ is represented by two integers:

- its *numerator* x, and
- its *denominator* y.

Let's say we want to implement addition of two rational numbers.

We could define two functions

def *addRationalNumerator*(*n1*: *Int*, *d1*: *Int*, *n2*: *Int*, *d2*: *Int*): *Int*
def *addRationalDenominator*(*n1*: *Int*, *d1*: *Int*, *n2*: *Int*, *d2*: *Int*): *Int*

but it would be hard to keep track of all the numerators and denominators.

Object Members

Objects of class *Rational* have two members, *numer* and *denom*.

Members of an object are selected using infix '.' (i.e. as in Java).

Example:

> **val** *x* = *Rational*(*1*, *2*)
> *x.numer*
1
> *x.denom*
2

A better alternative packs the numerator and denominator of a rational in one data structure.

In Scala, this is done by defining a class:

class *Rational*(x: *Int*, *y*: *Int*) **with** {
  def *numer* = *x*
  def *denom* = *y*
}

The previous definition introduces two entities:

- A new type, named *Rational*.
- A function *Rational* to create elements of the type.

The previous definition is roughly equivalent to:

type *Rational* = ...
def *Rational*(x: *Int*, *y*: *Int*): *Rational* = ...

Elements of a class type are called objects.

Scala keeps names for types and values in different name spaces. Hence there is no conflict between the two defintions of *Rational*.

Working with Objects

We can now define arithmetic functions on rationals which implement the standard rules:

$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} + \frac{n_2}{d_2} = \frac{n_1 d_2 + n_2 d_1}{d_1 d_2}$$
$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} - \frac{n_2}{d_2} = \frac{n_1 d_2 - n_2 d_1}{d_1 d_2}$$
$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} \cdot \frac{n_2}{d_2} = \frac{n_1 n_2}{d_1 d_2}$$
$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} / \frac{n_2}{d_2} = \frac{n_1 d_2}{d_1 n_2}$$
$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} = \frac{n_2}{d_2} \quad \text{iff} \quad n_1 d_2 = d_1 n_2$$

Data Abstraction

The previous example showed that rational numbers are not always represented in their shortest form. (Why?)

One would expect that rationals are reduced to smallest possible numerators and denominators by dividing with their common divisor.

This could be implemented in all operations on rationals. But then it is easy to forget the division in some operation.

A better alternative is to normalize the representation by the class at the point where objects are constructed:


```
        ...
    ...
    override def toString() = numer + "/" + denom;
}
```

Note: the **override** modifier declares that *toString* overrides another method (i.e. the one in *java.lang.Object*).

Here is a client of the new rational abstraction:

```
> val x = Rational(1, 3)
> val y = Rational(5, 7)
> val z = Rational(3, 2)
> x.add(y).mul(z)
66/42
```


Methods

We can go further and also package functions operating on a data abstraction with the data abstraction itself.

Such functions are also called methods.

Example: Rational numbers would now in addition to functions *numer* and *denom* have functions *add*, *sub*, *mul*, *div*, *equal*, *toString*.

An implementation could be as follows:

```
class Rational(x: Int, y: Int) with {
  def numer = x
  def denom = y
  def add(r: Rational) =
    Rational(
      numer * r.denom + r.numer * denom,
      denom * r.denom)
  def sub(r: Rational) =
```


For instance

```
def addRational(r: Rational, s: Rational): Rational =
  Rational(
    r.numer * s.denom + s.numer * r.denom,
    r.denom * s.denom)

def makeString(r: Rational) =
  r.numer + "/" + r.denom

> makeString(addRational(Rational(1, 2), Rational(2, 3)))
7/6
```

Classes and Substitution

Previously, the meaning of function application was defined using the substitution model of computation.

We now extend this model to classes and objects.

Question: How is a class instantiation $C(e_1, ..., e_m)$ evaluated?

Answer: The argument expressions $e_1, ..., e_m$ are evaluated as normal function arguments. That's all. The resulting expression, say, $C(v_1, ..., v_m)$, is already a value.

Now assume a class definition

class $C(x_1, ..., x_m)$ ***with*** { ... ***def*** $f(y_1, ..., y_n) = b$ ... }

where

- The formal parameters of the class are $x_1, ..., x_m$.
- The class defines a function f with formal parameters $y_1, ..., y_n$.

Self References

Within a class definition, the name ***this*** stands for the object whose method is executing.

Example: Add *less* and *max* functions to class *Rational* as follows.

```
class Rational(x: Int, y: Int) with {
  ...
  def less(that: Rational) =
    numer * that.denom < that.numer * denom
  def max(that: Rational) = if (this.less(that)) that else this
}
```

Note that a simple name x which refers to another member of a class is just a shorthand for ***this***.x. That is, *less* could have equivalently been formulated as follows.

```
def less(that: Rational) =
  this.numer * that.denom < that.numer * this.denom
```


It is also possible to call *gcd* in the code of *numer* and *denom*:

E.g.

```
class Rational(x: Int, y: Int) with {
  private def gcd(a: Int, b: Int): Int = if (b == 0) a else gcd(b, a % b)
  def numer = x / gcd(x, y)
  def denom = y / gcd(x, y)
}
```

This would be advantageous if we expected *numer* and *denom* to be called infrequently.

The clients would in each case observe exactly the same behaviour.

The ability to choose different implementations of data without affecting clients is called data abstraction.

It is one of the cornerstones of good software engineering.


```
class Rational(x: Int, y: Int) with {
  private def gcd(a: Int, b: Int): Int = if (b == 0) a else gcd(b, a % b)
  private val g = gcd(x, y)
  def numer = x / g
  def denom = y / g
  ...
}
```

gcd and *g* are private members; they can be accessed only from within class *Rational*.

With this definition, one gets:

```
> val x = Rational(1, 3)
> val y = Rational(5, 7)
> val z = Rational(3, 2)
> x.add(y).mul(z)
33/21
```

In this example, we computed *gcd* immediately because we anticipated that *numer* and *denom* would be called often.

I.e. an identifier is one of the following:

- A letter, followed by a sequence of letters or digits
- An operator symbol, followed by a sequence of other operator symbols.

The precedence of an operator is determined by its first character.

The following table lists characters from lower to higher-precedence:

(*all letters*)
|
^
&
< >
= !
:
+ −
* / %
(*all other special characters*)

Hence, we can define *Rational* more naturally as follows...

Operators

In principle, *Rational* numbers are just as "natural" as integers.

But for users of these abstractions, there is an apparent difference:

- We write $x + y$, if x and y are integers, but
- we write $r.add(s)$ is r and s are rational numbers.

In Scala, this difference can be made to disappear. We do that in two steps.

Step 1 Every method with one parameter can be used as an infix operator.

Hence, it is possible to write

r add s		$r.add(s)$
r less s	*as alternatives for*	$r.less(s)$
r max s		$r.max(s)$

Step 2 Operators can be used as normal identifiers.

Rewriting Examples

Rational(1, 2).*numer*
→
1

Rational(1, 2).*denom*
→
2

Rational(1, 2).*less*(*Rational*(2, 3))
→
Rational(1, 2).*numer* ∗ *Rational*(2, 3).*denom* <
Rational(2, 3).*numer* ∗ *Rational*(1, 2).*denom*
→ ... →
1 ∗ 3 < 2 ∗ 2
→ ... →
True

(Either parameter list may be absent. For brevity, we have omitted parameter types.)

Question: How is the expression $C(v_1, ..., v_m).f(w_1, ..., w_n)$ evaluated?

Answer: The expression rewrites to:

$[w_1/y_1, ..., w_n/y_n]$
$[v_1/x_1, ..., v_m/x_m]$
$[C(v_1, ..., v_m)/\textbf{\textit{this}}]\ b$

There are three substitutions at work here:

- the substitution of actual arguments $w_1, ..., w_n$ for the formal parameters of function f,
- the substitution of actual class arguments $v_1, ..., v_m$ for the formal parameters of class C,
- the substitution of the object value $C(v_1, ..., v_n)$ for the self reference ***this***.

Class Extensions

We plan to implement sets as binary trees.

There are two possible forms of trees: A tree for the empty set, and a tree consisting of an integer and two subtrees.

Here are their implementations.

```
class Empty extends IntSet with {
  def contains(x: Int): Boolean = False
  def incl(x: Int): IntSet = NonEmpty(x, Empty, Empty)
}
```


Abstract Classes

Consider the task of writing a class for sets of integer numbers with operations as follows.

```
abstract class IntSet with {
  abstract def incl(x: Int): IntSet
  abstract def contains(x: Int): Boolean
}
```

The *IntSet* class itself and its operations are marked **abstract**.

This means that no implementation is given, and no objects of class *IntSet* can be instantiated.

... and rational numbers can be used like *Int* or *Float*:

```
> val x = Rational(1, 2)
> val y = Rational(1, 3)
> x * x + y * y
13/36
```



```
class Rational(x: Int, y: Int) with {
  private def gcd(a: Int, b: Int): Int = if (b == 0) a else gcd(b, a % b)
  private val g = gcd(x, y)
  def numer = x / g
  def denom = y / g
  def + (r: Rational) =
    Rational(
      numer * r.denom + r.numer * denom,
      denom * r.denom)
  def - (r: Rational) =
    Rational(
      numer * r.denom - r.numer * denom,
      denom * r.denom)
  def * (r: Rational) =
    Rational(
      numer * r.numer,
      denom * r.denom)
  ...
  override def toString() = numer + "/" + denom;
}
```

Dynamic Binding

- Object-oriented languages (Scala included) implement dynamic method dispatch.
- That is, the code invoked for a method call depends on the run-time type of the object which contains the method.

Example:

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \mathit{Empty.contains}(7) \\ \rightarrow & \\ & \mathit{False} \end{array}$$

Exercise: Write methods *union* and *intersection* to form the union and intersection between two sets.

Exercise: Add a method

$$\textbf{def}\ \mathit{excl}(x\colon \mathit{Int})$$

to return the given set without the element x. To accomplish this, it is useful to also implement a test method

$$\textbf{def}\ \mathit{isEmpty}\colon \mathit{Boolean}$$

for sets.

Base Classes and Subclasses

- *IntSet* is called base class of *Empty* and *NonEmpty*.
- *Empty* and *NonEmpty* are subclasses of *IntSet*.
- Every user-defined class in Scala extends some other class.
- If no ***extends*** clause is given, class *scala.Object* is assumed.
- Subclasses inherit all members of their base class.
- The definitions of *contains* and *incl* in classes *Empty* and *NonEmpty* implement the abstract functions in the base class *IntSet*.
- It is also possible to override an existing non-abstract definition in a subclass, but then the overriding definition must be labelled ***override***.

Example:

```
class Base with {
  def foo = 1
  abstract def bar: Int
}
```

```
class Sub extends Base with {
  override def foo = 2
  def bar = 3
}
```



```
class NonEmpty(elem:Int, left:IntSet, right:IntSet) extends IntSet with {
  def contains(x: Int): Boolean =
    if (x < elem) left contains x
    else if (x > elem) right contains x
    else True
  def incl(x: Int): IntSet =
    if (x < elem) NonEmpty(elem, left incl x, right)
    else if (x > elem) NonEmpty(elem, left, right incl x)
    else this
}
```

Notes:

- Both *Empty* and *NonEmpty* extend class *IntSet*.
- This means that
  - The types *Empty* and *NonEmpty* conform to type *IntSet*. I.e. an object of type *Empty* or *NonEmpty* can be used wherever an object of type *IntSet* is required.

Class Int

Here is a partial specification of class *Int*.

```
class Int extends Long with {
  def + (that: Double): Double
  def + (that: Float): Float
  def + (that: Long): Long
  def + (that: Int): Int          /* analogous for −, *, /, % */

  def << (cnt: Int): Int          /* analogous for >>, >>> */

  def & (that: Long): Long
  def & (that: Int): Int          /* analogous for |, ^ */

  def == (that: Double): Boolean
  def == (that: Float): Boolean
  def == (that: Long): Boolean
                  /* analogous for !=, <, >, ≤, ≥ */
}
```


Class Boolean

```
abstract class Boolean with {
  abstract def ifThenElse[a](def t: a)(def e: a): a

  def && (def x: Boolean): Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](x)(False)
  def || (def x: Boolean): Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](True)(x)
  def !          : Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](False)(True)

  def == (x: Boolean): Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](x)(x.!)
  def != (x: Boolean): Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](x.!)(x)
  def <  (x: Boolean): Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](False)(x)
  def >  (x: Boolean): Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](x.!)(False)
  def ≤ (x: Boolean): Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](x)(True)
  def ≥ (x: Boolean): Boolean = ifThenElse[Boolean](True)(x.!)
}
class True extends Boolean with {
  def ifThenElse[a](def t: a)(def e: a): a = t }
class False extends Boolean with {
  def ifThenElse[a](def t: a)(def e: a): a = e }
```


Standard Classes

In fact, types such as *Int* or *Boolean* need not be treated specially in Scala; they can be defined just like other Scala classes.

Example: Here is how class *Boolean* could be defined.

(In the present implementation, *Boolean* objects are instead mapped to type *boolean*, but this is invisible to the user).

Example:

NonEmpty(7, *Empty*, *Empty*).*contains*(1)

→

if (1 < 7) *Empty contains 1*
else if (1 > 7) *Empty contains 1*
else *True*

→

Empty contains 1

→

False

Dynamic method dispatch is analogous to higher-order function calls.

Question:

Can either be implemented in terms of the other?

Language Elements Introduced This Week

Types:

Type = ... | *ident*

Types can now be arbitrary identifiers which represent classes.

Expressions:

Expr = ... | *Expr* '.' *ident*

An expression can now be a selection $E.m$ of a member m from an object-valued expression E.

Summary

- We have seen how to implement data structures with classes.
- A class defines a type and a function to create objects of that type.
- Objects have functions as members which are selected using infix '.'.
- Classes and members can be abstract, i.e. given without concrete implementation.
- A class can extend another class.
- If class A extends B then type A conforms to B.
  That is, objects of type A can be used wherever objects of type B are required.

Pure Object-Orientation

A pure object-oriented language is a language where every value is an object.

If the language is class-based, this means that every value type should be a class.

Is Scala a pure object-oriented language?

We have seen that Scala's numeric types and type *Boolean* can be implemented as normal classes.

We will see next week that functions can be regarded as objects as well.

The function type $(A)B$ is treated as a shorthand for objects with an apply method:

```
def apply(x: A): B
```


Exercise: Give an implementation of the following class for non-negative integers.

```
abstract class Nat with {
  abstract def isZero(): Boolean
  abstract def predecessor: Nat
  abstract def successor: Nat
  abstract def + (that: Nat): Nat
  abstract def − (that: Nat): Nat
}
```

Do not use any of Scala's standard numeric classes in the implementation.

Implement instead two subclasses

```
class Zero extends Nat
class Succ(n: Nat) extends Nat
```

one for the number zero; the othere for positive numbers.

Definitions:

Def	= *FunDef* | *ValDef* | *ClassDef*
ClassDef	= ***class*** *ident* [‘(’ [*Parameters*] ‘)’]
	[***extends*** *ident*] [***with*** ‘(’ {*TemplateDef*} ‘)’]
TemplateDef	= [*Modifier*]
Modifier	= ***abstract*** | ***private*** | ***override***

A definition can now be a class definition such as

class $C(params)$ ***extends*** B ***with*** { *defs* }

The definitions *defs* in a class may be preceded by modifiers ***abstract***, ***private***, or ***override***.