Polymorphism

In the simply typed lambda calculus, a term can have many types. But a variable or parameter has only one type. Example:

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$$

is untypable. But if we substitute actual parameter for formal, we obtain

$$(\lambda y.y)(\lambda y.y): a \to a$$

Functions which can be applied to arguments of many types are called *polymorphic*.

Polymorphism in Programming

Polymorphism is essential for many program patterns.

Example: map

```
def map f xs =
if (isEmpty (xs)) nil
else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs))
```

```
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
```

```
...
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

Without a polymorphic type for map one of the last two lines is always illegal!

Forms of Polymorphism

Polymorphism means "having many forms".

Polymorphism also comes in several forms.

- Universal polymorphism, sometimes also called *generic types*: The ability to instantiate type variables.
- *Inclusion polymorphism*, sometimes also called *subtyping*: The ability to treat a value of a subtype as a value of one of its supertypes.
- Ad-hoc polymorphism, sometimes also called *overloading*: The ability to define several versions of the same function name, with different types.

We first concentrate on universal polymorphism.

Two basic approaches: *explicit* or *implicit*.

Explicit Polymorphism

We introduce a polymorphic type $\forall a.T$, which can be used just as any other type.

We then need to make introduction and elimination of $\forall `s \ explicit. Typing rules:$

$$(\forall \mathbf{E}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \forall a.T}{\Gamma \vdash E[U] : [U/a]T} \qquad (\forall \mathbf{I}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash E : T}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda a.E : \forall a.T}$$

```
We also need to give all parameter types, so programs become verbose.
```

Example:

```
def map [a][b] (f: a → b) (xs: List[a]) =
    if (isEmpty [a] (xs)) nil [a]
    else cons [b] (f (head [a] xs)) (map [a][b] (f, tail [a] xs))
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
...
map [String] [String] toUpperCase names
map [Int] [Int] increment nums
```

Implicit Polymorphism

Implicit polymorphism does not require annotations for parameter types or type instantations.

Idea: In addition to types (as in simply typed lambda calculus), we have a new syntactic category of *type schemes*. Syntax:

Type Scheme $S ::= T \mid \forall a.S$

Type schemes are not fully general types; they are used only to type named values, introduced by a **val** construct.

The resulting type system is called the *Hindley/Milner system*, after its inventors. (The original treatment uses let ... in ... rather than **val** ... ; ...).

Hindley/Milner Typing rules

$$(VAR) \ \Gamma, x : S, \Gamma' \vdash x : S \qquad (x \notin dom(\Gamma'))$$

$$(\forall E) \frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \forall a.T}{\Gamma \vdash E : [U/a]T} \qquad (\forall I) \frac{\Gamma \vdash E : T \qquad a \notin tv(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash E : \forall a.T}$$

$$(VAL) \frac{\Gamma \vdash E : S \qquad \Gamma, x : S \vdash E' : T}{\Gamma \vdash val \ x = E \ ; E' : T}$$
The other two rules are as in simply typed lambda calculus:

$$(\rightarrow I) \frac{\Gamma, x : T \vdash E : U}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.E : T \rightarrow U} \quad (\rightarrow E) \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : T \rightarrow U \qquad \Gamma \vdash N :}{\Gamma \vdash M N : U}$$

7

T

Hindley/Milner in Programming Languages

Here is a formulation of the map example in the Hindley/Milner system.

```
val map = λf.λxs.
    if (isEmpty (xs)) nil
    else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs))
...
// names: List[String]
// nums : List[Int]
// map : ∀a.∀b.(a → b) → List[a] → List[b]
...
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```



The Essence of val

We regard

$$\operatorname{val} x = E ; E'$$

as a shorthand for

[E/x]E'

We use this equivalence to get a revised Hindley/Milner system.

Definition: Let HM' be the type system that results if we replace rule (VAL) from the Hindley/Milner system HM by:

(VAL')
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E : T \quad \Gamma \vdash [E/x]E' : U}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{val} \ x = E \ ; E' : U}$$

Theorem: $\Gamma \vdash_{HM} E : S \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_{HM'} E : S$

The theorem establishes the following connection between the Hindley/Milner system and the simply typed lambda calculus F_1 :

Corollary: Let E^* be the result of expanding all **val**'s in E according to the rule

$$\mathbf{val} \ x = E \ ; E' \quad \to \quad [E/x]E'$$

Then

$$\Gamma \vdash_{HM} E:T \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{F_1} E^*:T$$

Furthermore, if every **val**-bound name is used at least once, we also have the reverse:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{F_1} E^* : T \implies \Gamma \vdash_{HM} E : T$$

Principal Types

Definition: A type T is a *generic instance* of a type scheme $S = \forall a_1 \dots \forall a_n T'$ if there is a substitution s on a_1, \dots, a_n such that T = sT'. We write in this case $S \leq T$.

Definition: A type scheme S' is a generic instance of a type scheme S iff for all types T

$$S' \le T \Rightarrow S \le T$$

We write in this case $S \leq S'$.

Definition: A type scheme S is *principal* (or: *most general*) for Γ and E iff

- Γ ⊢ E : S
 Γ ⊢ E : S' implies S ≤ S'

Definition: A type system TS has the *principal typing property* iff, whenever $\Gamma \vdash_{TS} E : S$ then there exists a principal type scheme for Γ and E.

Theorem:

- 1. HM' without val has the p.t.p.
- 2. HM' with val has the p.t.p.
- 3. *HM* has the p.t.p.

Proof sketch: (1.): Use type reconstruction result for the simply typed lambda calculus. (2.): Expand all **val**'s and apply (1.). (3.): Use equivalence between HM and HM'.

These observations could be used to come up with a type reconstruction algorithm for HM. But in practice one takes a more direct approach.

Type Reconstruction for Hindley/Milner

Type reconstruction for the Hindley/Milner system works as for simply typed lambda calculus. We only have to add a clause for **val** expressions:

$$\begin{split} TP: Judgement &\rightarrow Subst \rightarrow Subst \\ TP(\Gamma \vdash E:T) \ s = \\ \mathbf{case} \ E \ \mathbf{of} \\ & \dots \\ \mathbf{val} \ x = E_1 \ ; E_2 \quad : \quad \mathbf{let} \ a, b \ \mathbf{fresh} \ \mathbf{in} \\ & \quad \mathbf{let} \ s_1 = TP \ (\Gamma \vdash E_1:a) \ \mathbf{in} \\ & \quad TP \ (\Gamma, x: \mathbf{gen}(s_1 \ \Gamma, s_1 \ a) \ \vdash \ E_2:b) \ s_1 \\ \end{split}$$
where $\mathbf{gen}(\Gamma, T) \ = \ \forall \mathrm{tv}(T) \backslash \mathrm{tv}(\Gamma).T. \end{split}$