

# Concurrency Semantics

## Week 5

Course Notes 2005  
EPFL – I&C

Uwe Nestmann  
Johannes Borgström

April 27, 2005

## 4 Congruence & Reaction

**4.1 Notation** We often write  $(\nu ab) P$  instead of  $(\nu a)(\nu b) P$ .  
We often omit trailing  $.0$  and abbreviate  $a.0$  by  $a$ .

**4.2 Definition (Process Contexts)** A *process context*  $C[\cdot]$  is (precisely) defined by the following syntax:

$$C[\cdot] ::= \begin{array}{l} [\cdot] \mid \mu.C[\cdot] + M \mid M + \mu.C[\cdot] \\ \mid (\nu a)C[\cdot] \mid C[\cdot]P \mid P|C[\cdot] \end{array}$$

The *elementary process contexts* are

$$(\nu a)[\cdot] \quad \begin{array}{cc} \mu.[\cdot] + M & M + \mu.[\cdot] \\ [\cdot] \mid P & P \mid [\cdot] \end{array}$$

The expression  $C[Q]$  denotes the result of filling the hole  $[\cdot]$  of  $C[\cdot]$  with process  $Q$ .

**4.3 Definition (Process Congruence)**

Let  $\cong$  be an equivalence relation over  $\mathcal{P}$ .  
Then  $\cong$  is said to be a *process congruence*,  
if it is preserved by all elementary contexts;  
i.e.,  $P \cong Q$  implies all of the following:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu.P + M \cong \mu.Q + M \quad P|R \cong Q|R \\ M + \mu.P \cong M + \mu.Q \quad R|P \cong R|Q \\ (\nu a)P \cong (\nu a)Q \end{array}$$

**4.4 Proposition** An arbitrary equivalence relation  $\cong$  over processes  $\mathcal{P}$  is a process congruence if and only if, for *all* contexts  $C[\cdot]$ ,  $P \cong Q$  implies  $C[P] \cong C[Q]$ .

**4.5 Theorem**

Bisimilarity  $\sim$  is a process congruence.

**4.6 Definition (Structural congruence)**

Structural congruence, written  $\equiv$ , is the (smallest) process congruence over  $\mathcal{P}$  determined by the following equations.

1.  $=_{\alpha}$
2. commutative monoid laws for  $(\mathcal{M}, +, 0)$
3. commutative monoid laws for  $(\mathcal{P}, |, 0)$
4.  $(\nu a)(P|Q) \equiv P|(\nu a)Q$ , if  $a \notin \text{fn}(P)$   
 $(\nu ab)P \equiv (\nu ba)P$   
 $(\nu a)0 \equiv 0$

5.  $A\langle \vec{b} \rangle \equiv \{\vec{b}/\vec{a}\}M_A$ , if  $A(\vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_A$ .

Note that  $n$ -ary summation is already implicitly defined modulo the commutative monoid laws.

#### 4.7 Definition (Standard Form)

A process expression  $(\nu \vec{a})(M_1 | \dots | M_n)$ , where each  $M_i$  is a non-empty sum, is said to be in *standard form*.

If  $n = 0$  then  $M_1 | \dots | M_n$  means  $\mathbf{0}$ .

If  $\vec{a}$  is empty then there is no restriction.

**4.8 Theorem** Every process is structurally congruent to some standard form.

#### 4.9 Definition (Reaction Semantics)

The reaction relation  $\rightarrow$  over  $\mathcal{P}$

is generated precisely by the following rules:

$$\text{TAU: } \tau.P + M \rightarrow P$$

$$\text{REACT: } a.P + M | \bar{a}.Q + N \rightarrow P | Q$$

$$\text{PAR: } \frac{P \rightarrow P'}{P | Q \rightarrow P' | Q} \quad \text{RES: } \frac{P \rightarrow P'}{(\nu a)P \rightarrow (\nu a)P'}$$

$$\text{STRUCT: } \frac{P \rightarrow P'}{Q \rightarrow Q'} \text{ IF } P \equiv Q \text{ AND } P' \equiv Q'$$

#### 4.10 Proposition

If  $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P'$  and  $P \equiv Q$ ,

then there is  $Q'$  such that  $Q \xrightarrow{\mu} Q'$  and  $P' \equiv Q'$ .

#### 4.11 Corollary

$\equiv$  is a strong bisimulation.

#### 4.12 Theorem

$P \rightarrow P'$  iff  $P \xrightarrow{\tau} \equiv P'$ .

#### 4.13 Definition (Strong Simulation up to $\equiv$ )

A binary relation  $S$  (on  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is

a (*strong*) *simulation up to  $\equiv$*

if, whenever  $P S Q$ , then if  $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P'$  then

there is  $Q' \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $Q \xrightarrow{\mu} Q'$  and  $P' \equiv S \equiv Q'$ .

$S$  is a *strong bisimulation up to  $\equiv$*

if its converse also has this property.

#### 4.14 Proposition

If  $S$  is a (strong) bisimulation up to  $\equiv$  and  $P S Q$ ,

then  $P \sim Q$ .