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## Value-Passing: Syntax

```
\(\mathcal{N}\) channels \(a, b, c \ldots\)
\(\mathcal{V}\) values \(v, w\)
\(\mathcal{X}\) variables \(x, y, z\)
\(\mathcal{A}\) actions \(\quad \mu::=\bar{a}\langle v\rangle \quad|\quad a(x) \quad| \quad \tau\)
```

"negative" actions $\bar{a}\langle v\rangle$ : send name $v$ over channel $a$.
"positive" actions $a(x)$ : receive any value, say $v$, over channel $a$ and "bind the result" to variable $x$.

Binding results in substitution $[y / x]$ of the formal parameter $x$ by the actual parameter $v$.
polyadic communication $\bar{a}\langle\vec{v}\rangle$ and $a(\vec{x})$ (with $\vec{x}$ pairwise different) transmit many values at a time.

## Value-Passing: Semantics I

## directly: via LTS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TAU: } \tau . P \xrightarrow{\tau} P \quad \text { OUT: } \bar{a}\langle v\rangle . P \xrightarrow{\bar{a}\langle v\rangle} P \\
& \text { INP: } \frac{v \in \mathcal{V}}{a(x) . P \xrightarrow{a v}[v / x] P} \\
& \text { COMM: } \frac{P \xrightarrow{\bar{a}\langle v\rangle} P^{\prime} \quad Q \xrightarrow{a v} Q^{\prime}}{P\left|Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P^{\prime}\right| Q^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Value-Passing: Semantics II

indirectly: via translation

$$
\begin{array}{ccl}
\llbracket \rrbracket: \mathcal{P} \mathrm{VP} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{P} \\
\hline \llbracket \bar{a}\langle v\rangle . P \rrbracket & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} & \overline{a_{v}} \llbracket P \rrbracket \\
\llbracket a(x) . P \rrbracket & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} & \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} a_{v} \cdot \llbracket[v / x] P \rrbracket \\
& \vdots & \\
\llbracket P_{1} \mid P_{2} \rrbracket & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} & \llbracket P_{1} \rrbracket \mid \llbracket P_{2} \rrbracket \\
& \vdots & \\
\llbracket A\langle\vec{v}\rangle \rrbracket & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} & A\langle\vec{v}\rangle
\end{array}
$$

## Buffers in New Clothes ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N} & :=\{\text { in, out }\} \\
\mathcal{V} & :=\{0,1\} \\
s & \in\{\epsilon\} \cup \mathcal{V} \\
\vec{a} & :=\text { in, out }
\end{aligned}
$$

Buff $_{s}^{(1)} \quad: \quad$ 1-place buffer containing $s$
Buff $_{e}^{(1)}(\vec{a}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{in}(x)$.Buff ${ }_{x}^{(1)}\langle\vec{a}\rangle$
Buff $_{v}^{(1)}(\vec{a}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \overline{o u t}\langle v\rangle$.Buff $f_{\epsilon}^{(1)}\langle\vec{a}\rangle$
$\square$ Observe how much nicer name/value-passing is :-)

## Bound and Free Names

$\square(\boldsymbol{\nu} x) P$ and $a(x) . P$ bind $x$ in $P$
$\square x$ occurs bound in $P$, if it occurs in a subterm $(\boldsymbol{\nu} x) Q$ or $a(x) . P$ of $P$
$\square x$ occurs free in $P$, if it occurs without enclosing $(\boldsymbol{\nu} x) Q$ or $a(x) . P$ in $P$
$\square$ Note the use of parentheses (round brackets).
$\square$ Define $\mathrm{fn}(P)$ and $\mathrm{bn}(P)$ inductively on $\mathcal{P}$ (sets of free/bound names of $P$ ) ...

## Scheduler, Informally [Mi199, § 3.6]

$\square$ a set of processes $P_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ is to be scheduled
$\square P_{i}$ starts by signalling $\overline{a_{i}}$ to the scheduler
$\square P_{i}$ completes by signalling $\overline{b_{i}}$ to the scheduler
$\square$ each $P_{i}$ must not run two tasks at a time
$\square$ tasks of different $P_{i}$ may run at the same time
$\square a_{i}$ are required to occur cyclically (initially, 1 starts)
$\square$ for each $i, a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ must occur cyclically
$\square$ maximal "progress":
the scheduling must permit any of the buttons to be pressed at any time provided (1) and (2) are not violated.

## Formal "Implementation" [§ 7.3]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(a, b, c, d) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \\
&= a . c . b . \bar{d} . A \\
& \hline A(a, b, c, d) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \\
& a . C\langle a, b, c, d\rangle \\
& C(a, b, c, d) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c . B\langle a, b, c, d\rangle \\
& B(a, b, c, d) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \\
& D . D\langle a, b, c, d\rangle \\
& D(a, b, c, d) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \\
& d
\end{aligned} \cdot A\langle a, b, c, d\rangle .
$$

