How the constructors phase works

© Miguel Garcia, LAMP, EPFL http://lamp.epfl.ch/~magarcia

April $30^{\rm th},\,2011$

Abstract

These notes cover constructors, the phase in charge of rephrasing template initialization in terms of VM-level fields and constructors. The phase also lowers scala.DelayedInit and needs to be aware about @specialized in some cases. These notes don't delve into details of the latter, other than including pointers to related material and samples of before-after ASTs.

phase name	id	description	
parser	1	parse source into ASTs, perform simple desugaring	
namer	2	resolve names, attach symbols to named trees	
packageobjects	3	load package objects	
typer	4	 the meat and potatoes: type the trees add super accessors in traits and nested classes serialize symbol tables reference/override checking, translate nested objects reify trees 	
superaccessors	5		
pickler	6		
refchecks	7		
liftcode	8		
uncurry	9	uncurry, translate function values to anonymous classes	
tailcalls	10	replace tail calls by jumps	
specialize	11	©specialized-driven class and method specialization	
explicitouter	explicitouter 12 this refs to outer pointers, translate patterns		
erasure	13	13 erase types, add interfaces for traits	
lazyvals	14	allocate bitmaps, translate lazy vals into lazified defs	
lambdalift	15	move nested functions to top level	
/*	16	move field definitions into constructors	
constructors	10		
flatten	17	eliminate inner classes	
mixin	mixin 18 mixin composition		
cleanup	19	platform-specific cleanups, generate reflective calls	
icode	icode 20 generate portable intermediate code		
inliner	21	optimization: do inlining	
closelim	22	optimization: eliminate uncalled closures	
dce	dce 23 optimization: eliminate dead code		
ivm	24	generate JVM bytecode	
terminal	25	The last phase in the compiler chain	
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Contents

1	Intro	3
2	Before and after 2.1 The parser part of the deal	3 3 4
3	transformClassTemplate: setting the scene3.1Letting the instance know about presuper values3.2The first big spill-over3.3intoConstructorTransformer	5 6 7 8
4	Second part of transformClassTemplate4.1Collecting symbols accessed outside the primary constructor4.2Initialize field from param, or omit field altogether4.3"Splitting at super"	10 10 11 12 12 13
5	Bonus 5.1 DelayedInit 5.2 @specialized 5.3 Inlining ILAsm bytecode in C# programs	13 13 14 15



Figure 1: Sec. 1

1 Intro

scala.tools.nsc.transform.Constructors contains just one transformer, with the structure shown in Figure 1. Just to be clear: a transformer extends the abstract class Transformer in scala.tools.nsc.ast.Trees.

With method transformClassTemplate collapsed, the main aspects of this phase become clear, so it only remains to see what goes on between lines 32 and 585. Besides turning templates (in tandem with primary constructors) into "Java-like constructors", transformClassTemplate handles two additional concerns: (a) rewriting for DelayedInit; and (b) handling the interplay with @specialized. We postpone their treatment to Sec. 5.1 and Sec. 5.2. Till then, we discuss the operation of constructors without those features.

2 Before and after

2.1 The parser part of the deal

In order to have an intuition for the shapes arriving at constructors, we start with parser, that in its machinations inserts a DefDef for a primary constructor:

```
// Input program
class A(a: Int)
class B(b: Int) extends A(b)
```

// After parser

2.2 Example: Early defs

Although this subsection contains before&after snippets obtained with -Xprint, a better way to see changes across phases involves -Yshow-syms (using SymbolTrackers). Sample output:

```
[[symbol layout at end of constructors]]
. . .
!!! 1 symbols vanished:
( 1) value a -> class ShowMe -> package <empty> -> ...
ValDef: <paramaccessor> private[this] val a: Int = _
```

The SLS gives the following input program when discussing *Early defs* in $\S5.1.6$ (this example will come handy in Sec. 3.1):

```
trait Greeting {
  val name: String
  val msg = "How are you, "+name
}
class C extends {
  val name = "Bob"
} with Greeting {
  println(msg)
}
```

After constructors:

```
[[syntax trees at end of constructors]]// Scala source: bt4.scala
package <empty> {
   abstract trait Greeting extends java.lang.Object with ScalaObject {
        <stable> <accessor> def name(): java.lang.String;
        <stable> <accessor> def msg(): java.lang.String
   };
   class C extends java.lang.Object with Greeting with ScalaObject {
      private[this] val name: java.lang.String = _;
        <stable> <accessor> def name(): java.lang.String = C.this.name;
      def this(): C = {
        val name: java.lang.String("Bob") = "Bob";
        C.this.name = "Bob";
        C.this.sasInstanceOf[Greeting$class]()./*Greeting$class*/$init$();
        C.this.$asInstanceOf[Greeting$class]()./*Greeting$class*/$init$();
    }
    }
}
```

```
scala.this.Predef.println(C.this.msg());
    ()
    }
};
abstract trait Greeting$class extends java.lang.Object with ScalaObject with Greeting {
    private[this] val msg: java.lang.String = _;
    <stable> <accessor> def msg(): java.lang.String = Greeting$class.this.msg;
    def /*Greeting$class*/$init$(): Unit = {
      Greeting$class.this.msg = "How are you, ".+(Greeting$class.this.name());
      ()
    }
}
```

3 transformClassTemplate: setting the scene

Informally, phrases like "the body of the template", "the statements in the primary constructor" may refer to either the before-constructors state or the after-transform state. To avoid confusion, that distinction can be kept in mind, for example:

- val stats = impl.body, the before-contents of the template as a List[Tree]
- constrParams, the before-xform list of param-symbols for the (primary) constructor. N.B.: that's the constructor being meant whenever we talk of "the constructor".
- constrBody, before-contents of the primary constructor as a Block(stats: List[Tree], expr: Tree).

Those are examples of before-state. The buffers for post-state all contain tree lists, conveniently separated into their position post-transform:

- template level, subdivided into auxiliary constructors and the rest (auxConstructorBuf and defBuf resp.)
- primary-constructor level, subdivided into before super-call (if any), on the one hand; and at-or-after the super call, on the other: constrPrefixBuf and constrStatBuf resp.

The buffers mentioned above are:

```
// The list of definitions that go into class
val defBuf = new ListBuffer[Tree]
// The auxiliary constructors, separate from the defBuf since they should
// follow the primary constructor
val auxConstructorBuf = new ListBuffer[Tree]
// The list of statements that go into constructor after and including the superclass constructor call
val constrStatBuf = new ListBuffer[Tree]
// The list of early initializer statements that go into constructor before the superclass constructor call
val constrPrefixBuf = new ListBuffer[Tree]
```

The last two items may give the impression that their concatenation constitutes the post-transform primary constructor. Not quite so. Some pieces haven't been discussed yet but their meaning is hinted at by the following snippet:

```
// Assemble final constructor
defBuf += treeCopy.DefDef(
    constr, constr.mods, constr.name, constr.tparams, constr.vparamss, constr.tpt,
    treeCopy.Block(
        constrBody,
        paramInits ::: constrPrefixBuf.toList ::: uptoSuperStats ::: /*- so far we've heard only about constrPrefix
        guardSpecializedInitializer(remainingConstrStats),
        constrBody.expr));
```

3.1 Letting the instance know about presuper values

Mutation action starts by filling the statements at or after the super-call (constrStatBuf), only that (contrary to its name) first of all assignments are added for early defs, which by their very nature are executed before invoking a super constructor and trait initializers (all will be fine after splitAtSuper, Sec. 4.3).

In terms of the example from Sec. 2.2, there's the following "presuper"

```
class C extends {
  val name = "Bob" /*- presuper */
} with Greeting {
  println(msg)
}
```

to put it into perspective, the primary constructor after constructors looks like:

```
def this(): C = {
  val name: java.lang.String("Bob") = "Bob"; /*- this subsection covers how this line */
  C.this.name = "Bob"; /*- and this line are added to constrStatBuf */
  C.super.this();
  C.this.$asInstanceOf[Greeting$class]()./*Greeting$class*/$init$();
  scala.this.Predef.println(C.this.msg());
  ()
}
```

The snippet below informs us that (among the statements in the beforexform constructor) "constructor-local ValDefs for pre-supers" can be found. Each such ValDef goes unchanged into the after-xform constructor and is immediately followed by an assignment to the class-level field for that presuper. Thus the title of this section. BTW, no clue what the **rhs** of the constructor-local ValDef, if any, was.

```
// generate code to copy pre-initialized fields
for (stat <- constrBody.stats) {
   constrStatBuf += stat
   stat match {
    case ValDef(mods, name, _, _) if (mods hasFlag PRESUPER) =>
        // stat is the constructor-local definition of the field value
      val fields = presupers filter (
       vdef => nme.localToGetter(vdef.name) == name)
      assert(fields.length == 1)
      val to = fields.head.symbol
      if (!to.tpe.isInstanceOf[ConstantType])
```

```
constrStatBuf += mkAssign(to, Ident(stat.symbol))
case _ =>
}
}
```

For the "C and Greeting" example, constrBody.stats contains presuper, super call, and trait init:



This is one of those transforms where the resulting ASTs can be mapped to bytecode but not to Java or C#. (Unless "*IL Inlining in High-Level Languages*" is used, as implemented by $InlineIL^1$. See Sec. 5.3 for details).

We're not yet done with mutating constrStatBuf: highlighted below are two more cases, to be discussed later.

- Found usages (5 usages)

```
    ⇒ Value read (5 usages)
    ⇒ ⇒ compiler (5 usages)
    ⇒ ⇒ scala.tools.nsc.transform (5 usages)
    ⇒ ⇒ constructors.scala (5 usages)
    ⇒ (151: 9) constrStatBuf += stat
    ⇒ (150: 15) constrStatBuf += mkAssign(to, Ident(stat.symbol))
    ⇒ (160: 15) constrStatBuf += intoConstructor(impl.symbol, stat)
    ⇒ (200: 11) constrStatBuf += intoConstructor(impl.symbol, stat)
    ⇒ (551: 65) var (uptoSuperStats, remainingConstrStats) = splitAtSuper (constrStatBuf.toList)
```

3.2 The first big spill-over

Having started to fill one of the "receptacles" what about the other three? Each of them (defBuf, auxConstructorBuf, constrPrefixBuf) as well as constrStatBuf itself potentially gets something during the triaging performed from lines 166 to 201.

Why is such triaging needed? Before constructors, templates contain executable statements and ValDefs with executable RHSs, whose evaluation logically belongs in the primary constructor.

Or put in yet another way :-) in Sec. 3.1, we didn't iterate over template stmts but over those in the constructor, now we need to add what logically belongs in the constructor but so far is owned by the template

The before-xform template stamements are classified into "definitions" and "others":

1. Three kinds of definitions (Figure 2) are distributed here:

(a) ClassDefs remain always template-level

¹http://blogs.msdn.com/jmstall/archive/2005/02/21/377806.aspx



Figure 2: Sec. 3.2

- (b) Regarding constructors:
 - i. the primary constructor is skipped as it will be added later
 - ii. auxiliary constructors go to auxConstructorBuf
- (c) All (non-constructor) methods go to the post-xform template (i.e., to defBuf). However the body of methods with constant result type is rewritten into a literal.
- (d) (mutable) value definitions go to defBuf (unless constant), and one of constrPrefixBuf or constrStatBuf (unless lazy).

```
// val defs with constant right-hand sides are eliminated.
// for all other val defs, an empty valdef goes into the template and
// the initializer goes as an assignment into the constructor
// if the val def is an early initialized or a parameter accessor, it goes
// before the superclass constructor call, otherwise it goes after.
// Lazy vals don't get the assignment in the constructor.
```

2. all other statements of the before-xform template go into the post-xform constructor (by first going to constrStatBuf).

3.3 intoConstructorTransformer

The list in Sec. 3.2 shows that cases (1.d) and (2) result in expressions being moved from template-level to constructor-level. There's a transformer (intoConstructorTransformer, Listing 3) to help with that. Moving one such Tree involves:

- 1. First, its owner is changed from impl.symbol to constr.symbol.
- 2. Second, it goes through intoConstructorTransformer which has to be aware of @specialized (details omitted!). Instead, we look at the most common rewritings it performs (in all cases, the rewritings are conditional, details in code shown below):
 - (a) references to parameter accessor methods of own class become references to parameters



Figure 3: Sec. 3.3

- (b) outer accessors become references to **\$outer** parameter
- (c) references to parameter accessor field of own class become references to parameters

Example:

```
class C (p: Int, var v: Char) {
  Console.println(p)
  Console.println(v)
  v = 10
  Console.println(v)
}
```

Before-and-after ASTs:

1[[syntax trees at end of lambdalift]]// Scala source: bt4.scala	<u> </u>	1	[[syntax trees at end of constructors]]// Scala source: bt4.scala
2package <empty> {</empty>			2	package <empty> {</empty>
3 class C extends java.lang.Object with ScalaObject {			3	class C extends java.lang.Object with ScalaObject {
4	<pre>4 <paramaccessor> private[this] val p: Int = _;</paramaccessor></pre>		4	<pre><paramaccessor> private[this] var v: Char = _;</paramaccessor></pre>
5	<pre>5 <pre> <pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>		5	<accessor> <paramaccessor> def v(): Char = C.this.v;</paramaccessor></accessor>
6	<pre><accessor> <paramaccessor> def v(): Char = C.this.v;</paramaccessor></accessor></pre>		6	<pre><accessor> <paramaccessor> def v_=(x\$1: Char): Unit = C.this.v = x\$1;</paramaccessor></accessor></pre>
7	<pre><accessor> <paramaccessor> def v_=(x\$1: Char): Unit = C.this.v = x\$1;</paramaccessor></accessor></pre>		7	def this(p: Int, v: Char): C = {
8	<pre>def this(p: Int, v: Char): C = {</pre>	_	- 8	C.this.v = v;
9	C.super.this();	[9	C.super.this();
10	()	\square	10	<pre>scala.Console.println(scala.Int.box(p));</pre>
11	};	$ \setminus$	11	<pre>scala.Console.println(scala.Char.box(C.this.v()));</pre>
12	<pre>scala.Console.println(scala.Int.box(C.this.p));</pre>		12	C.this.v_=(\'\\n\');
13	<pre>scala.Console.println(scala.Char.box(C.this.v()));</pre>	h.	13	<pre>scala.Console.println(scala.Char.box(C.this.v()));</pre>
14	C.this.v_=(\'\\n\');		14	()
15	<pre>scala.Console.println(scala.Char.box(C.this.v()))</pre>		15	}
16	}		16	}
17}			17	}

Code in charge of carrying out the transformation:

```
case Apply(Select(This(_), _), List()) =>
    // references to parameter accessor methods of own class become references to parameters
    // outer accessors become references to $outer parameter
```

```
if (isParamRef(tree.symbol) && !possiblySpecialized(tree.symbol))
gen.mkAttributedIdent(parameter(tree.symbol.accessed)) setPos tree.pos
else if (tree.symbol.outerSource == clazz && !clazz.isImplClass)
gen.mkAttributedIdent(parameterNamed(nme.OUTER)) setPos tree.pos
else
super.transform(tree)
case Select(This(_), _) if (isParamRef(tree.symbol) && !possiblySpecialized(tree.symbol)) =>
// references to parameter accessor field of own class become references to parameters
gen.mkAttributedIdent(parameter(tree.symbol)) setPos tree.pos
```

4 Second part of transformClassTemplate

4.1 Collecting symbols accessed outside the primary constructor

Simplifying somewhat, on entry to constructors there is a field for each constructor param (and possibly accessors depending on whether the param was marked val or var). Sometimes, constructor params are used as they would be in Java, i.e. only within the primary constructor. If so, no dedicated field for that param is necessary.

The machinery required to realize the intuition above permeates the rest of transformClassTemplate. In this subsection we cover the first steps of that process, by first rephrasing in more detail the idea just sketched.

transformClassTemplate relies a number of times on mustbeKept(sym: Symbol) to leave out of the post-xform template some definition. For example:

```
// Eliminate all field definitions that can be dropped from template
treeCopy.Template(impl, impl.parents, impl.self,
    defBuf.toList filter (stat => mustbeKept(stat.symbol)))
```

In turn, mustbeKept bases its decision (among others) on:

// A sorted set of symbols that are known to be accessed outside the primary constructor. val accessedSyms = new TreeSet[Symbol]((x, y) => x isLess y)

As part of collecting accessedSyms, collecting outerAccessors is also necessary (but outerAccessors aren't used for anything else afterwards). And once accessedSyms have been collected, outerAccessors won't be queried directly, but through mustbeKept(sym), as the following screencapture shows:

```
    Variable

            Variable
            Vaccessed8yms
            Found usages (2 usages)
            Value read (2 usages)
            Value read (2 usages)
            compiler (2 usages)
            constructors.scala (2 us
```

Accessed-symbols are collected by running accessTraverser (Figure 4) twice:

• first on all members of the after-xform template (better said, what so far is considered to be the after-xform template), except the primary constructor

```
// A traverser to set accessedSyms and outerAccesso
val accessTraverser = new Traverser {
  override def traverse(tree: Tree) = {
    tree match {
      case DefDef(_, _, _, _, _, body)
if (tree.symbol.isOuterAccessor && tree.symbol.owner == clazz && clazz.isFinal) =>
         log("outerAccessors += " + tree.symbol.fullName)
         outerAccessors ::= (tree.symbol, body)
       case Select(_, _) =>
         if (!mustbekept(tree.symbol)) {
    log("accessedSyms += " + tree.symbol.fullName)
           accessedSyms addEntry tree.symbol
         super.traverse(tree)
      case
             =>
         super.traverse(tree)
    }
  }
}
```

Figure 4: Sec. 4.1

and outer accessors

• afterwards, on those outer accessors which were detected as accessed by the previous stage.

It's high time for a code snippet!

```
// first traverse all definitions except outeraccesors
// (outeraccessors are avoided in accessTraverser)
for (stat <- defBuf.iterator ++ auxConstructorBuf.iterator)
    accessTraverser.traverse(stat)
// then traverse all bodies of outeraccessors which are accessed themselves
// note: this relies on the fact that an outer accessor never calls another
// outer accessor in the same class.
for ((accSym, accBody) <- outerAccessors)
    if (mustbeKept(accSym)) accessTraverser.traverse(accBody)
```

4.2 Initialize field from param, or omit field altogether

Once all symbols accessed outside the constructor are known (be they for params, fields, or otherwise) it is possible to emit code to:

1. initialize (with param values) those paramaccessor fields that will remain template-level. The code to perform such assignments is emitted by invoking:

```
// Create code to copy parameter to parameter accessor field.
// If parameter is $outer, check that it is not null so that we NPE
// here instead of at some unknown future $outer access.
def copyParam(to: Symbol, from: Symbol): Tree = {
    import CODE._
    val result = mkAssign(to, Ident(from))
    if (from.name != nme.OUTER) result
    else localTyper.typedPos(to.pos) {
        IF (from OBJ_EQ NULL) THEN THROW(NullPointerExceptionClass) ELSE result
    }
```

Listing 1: Sec. 4.2

```
// Conflicting symbol list from parents: see bug #1960.
// It would be better to mangle the constructor parameter name since
// it can only be used internally, but I think we need more robust name
// mangling before we introduce more of it.
val parentSymbols = Map((for {
 p <- impl.parents</pre>
 if p.symbol.isTrait
 sym <- p.symbol.info.nonPrivateMembers</pre>
  if sym.isGetter && !sym.isOuterField
} yield sym.name -> p): _*)
// Initialize all parameters fields that must be kept.
val paramInits =
  for (acc <- paramAccessors if mustbeKept(acc)) yield {</pre>
   if (parentSymbols contains acc.name)
     unit.error(acc.pos, "parameter '%s' requires field but conflicts with %s in '%s'".format(
       acc.name, acc.name, parentSymbols(acc.name)))
   copyParam(acc, parameter(acc))
 7
```

```
}
```

2. later in transformClassTemplate, omit those paramaccessor fields that are accessed only within the constructor.

Trees for the assignments prepared as per item 1. above are kept in paramInits. The for-comprehension computing them also has to cater for an obscure error situation (inheriting from a trait a getter with the same name as a parameter being initialized) and is thus a bit more involved (Listing 1).

4.3 "Splitting at super"

From here (Sec. 4.3) till Sec. 4.5 the last part of method transformClassTemplate is shown.

```
/** Return a pair consisting of (all statements up to and including superclass and trait constr calls, rest) */
def splitAtSuper(stats: List[Tree]) = {
    def isConstr(tree: Tree) = (tree.symbol ne null) && tree.symbol.isConstructor
    val (pre, rest0) = stats span (!isConstr(_))
    val (supercalls, rest) = rest0 span (isConstr(_))
    (pre ::: supercalls, rest)
}
var (uptoSuperStats, remainingConstrStats) = splitAtSuper(constrStatBuf.toList)
```

4.4 Some words about DelayedInit

Details in Sec. 5.1.

```
val needsDelayedInit =
```

```
(clazz isSubClass DelayedInitClass) /*669 !(defBuf exists isInitDef)*/ && remainingConstrStats.nonEmpty
if (needsDelayedInit) {
  val dicl = new ConstructorTransformer(unit) transform delayedInitClosure(remainingConstrStats)
  defBuf += dicl
  remainingConstrStats = List(delayedInitCall(dicl))
}
```

4.5 One more thing

```
// Assemble final constructor
defBuf += treeCopy.DefDef(
 constr, constr.mods, constr.name, constr.tparams, constr.vparamss, constr.tpt,
 treeCopy.Block(
   constrBody,
   paramInits ::: constrPrefixBuf.toList ::: uptoSuperStats :::
     guardSpecializedInitializer(remainingConstrStats),
   constrBody.expr));
// Followed by any auxiliary constructors
defBuf ++= auxConstructorBuf
// Unlink all fields that can be dropped from class scope
for (sym <- clazz.info.decls.toList)</pre>
 if (!mustbeKept(sym)) clazz.info.decls unlink sym
// Eliminate all field definitions that can be dropped from template
treeCopy.Template(impl, impl.parents, impl.self,
 defBuf.toList filter (stat => mustbeKept(stat.symbol)))
```

5 Bonus

5.1 DelayedInit

The entry point to the rewriting for DelayedInit was shown in Sec. 4.4, but the actual rewriting was skipped (i.e., delayedInitClosure(remainingConstrStats) and delayedInitCall(dicl)). Let's recap the SLS description:

Delayed Initializaton. The initialization code of an object or class (but not a trait) that follows the superclass constructor invocation and the mixin-evaluation of the template's base classes is passed to a special hook, which is inaccessible from user code. Normally, that hook simply executes the code that is passed to it. But templates inheriting the scala.DelayedInit trait can override the hook by reimplementing the delayedInit method, which is defined as follows:

```
def delayedInit(body: => Unit)
```

The input program below is converted into that in Listing 2 (page 14).

```
object Main extends App {
   Console.println(args mkString)
}
```

Listing 2: Sec. 5.1

```
[[syntax trees at end of constructors]]// Scala source: bt4.scala
package <empty> {
 final object Main extends java.lang.Object with App with ScalaObject {
   final <synthetic> class delayedInit$body extends scala.runtime.AbstractFunction0 with ScalaObject {
     <paramaccessor> private[this] val $outer: object Main = _;
     final def apply(): java.lang.Object = {
       scala.Console.println(
          scala.this.Predef.refArrayOps(
              delayedInit$body.this.$outer.args().$asInstanceOf[Array[java.lang.Object]]()
          ).mkString()
       );
       scala.runtime.BoxedUnit.UNIT
     };
     def this($outer: object Main): Main#delayedInit$body = {
       if ($outer.eq(null))
        throw new java.lang.NullPointerException()
       else
        delayedInit$body.this.$outer = $outer;
       delayedInit$body.super.this();
       ()
     }
   }; // end of class delayedInit$body
   def this(): object Main = {
     Main.super.this();
     Main.this.$asInstanceOf[App$class]()./*App$class*/$init$();
     Main.this.delayedInit(new Main#delayedInit$body(Main.this));
     ()
   } // end of this(): object Main
 } // end of object Main
}
```

5.2 Ospecialized

Covered in §4.4.3 (Specialized instance initialization) of Iulian Dragos' PhD report [1].

For the following input program, the AST after constructors is shown in Listing 3 on p. 16.

```
abstract class Stack[@specialized(Int) T : ClassManifest](size: Int) {
  val data = new Array[T](size)
  println("created array of size " + data.length)
  def push(x: T)
  def pop: T
}
```

5.3 Inlining ILAsm bytecode in C# programs

This section follows up the discussion in Sec. 3.1. Example:

```
using System;
class Program
{
   static void Main()
   Ł
       int x = 3;
       int y = 4;
       int z = 5;
       // Here's some inline IL; x=x+y+z
#if IL
       ldloc x
       ldloc y
       add
       ldloc z
       add
       stloc x
#endif
       Console.WriteLine(x):
   }
}
```

The webpage for $InlineIL^2$ mentions limitations of the tool:

- 1. The compiler (e.g. csc.exe) is completely ignorant to the IL snippets. This greatly simplifies the model but also introduces some issues:
 - (a) The compiler doesn't know about any locals declared in the IL snippets.
 - (b) The compiler can't do any analysis on the IL snippets. This can be critical in dead-code detection. If the only reference to C# code is via the IL snippet, csc.exe will think it's dead code and remove it, and then the code will be unavailable for the inliner. This is why the C# filter example above puts the throw in its own function.
- 2. There are limitations to stitching the high-level source code and the IL together. For example, you can't share labels across the boundary. Also, the compiler doesn't know about declarations from the IL snippets.
- 3. The inliner only supports IL statements. It doesn't support IL expressions, members, or types. Supporting expressions would require real integration with the compiler, and also provide little value since they can trivially be converted into statements. Supporting members would also require real integration with the compiler so that the rest of the compiler could see the newly declared member. Supporting types don't make sense since the type could just be in its own IL file.

References

 Iulian Dragos. Compiling Scala for Performance. PhD thesis, Lausanne, 2010. http://lamp.epfl.ch/~dragos/files/dragos-thesis.pdf.

²http://blogs.msdn.com/jmstall/archive/2005/02/21/377806.aspx

```
Listing 3: Sec. 5.2
```

```
[[syntax trees at end of constructors]]// Scala source: bt4.scala
package <empty> {
 abstract class Stack extends java.lang.Object with ScalaObject {
   protected[this] val data: java.lang.Object = _;
   <stable> <accessor> def data(): java.lang.Object = Stack.this.data;
   def push(x: java.lang.Object): Unit;
   def pop(): java.lang.Object;
   <stable> <specialized> def data$mcI$sp(): Array[Int] = Stack.this.data().$asInstanceOf[Array[Int]]();
   <specialized> def push$mcl$sp(x: Int): Unit = Stack.this.push(scala.Int.box(x).$asInstanceOf[java.lang.Object]
   <specialized> def pop$mcI$sp(): Int = scala.Int.unbox(Stack.this.pop());
   def specInstance$(): Boolean = false;
   def this(size: Int, evidence$1: scala.reflect.ClassManifest): Stack = {
     Stack.super.this();
     if (Stack.this.specInstance$().unary_!())
       ſ
         Stack.this.data = evidence$1.newArray(size);
         scala.this.Predef.println("created array of size ".+(scala.Int.box(runtime.this.ScalaRunTime.array_leng
         ()
       };
     ()
   }
 };
 abstract <specialized> class Stack$mcI$sp extends Stack {
   private <paramaccessor> val size: Int = _;
   implicit private <paramaccessor> val evidence$1: scala.reflect.ClassManifest = _;
   <specialized> protected[this] val data$mcI$sp: Array[Int] = _;
   <stable> <accessor> <specialized> def data$mcI$sp(): Array[Int] = Stack$mcI$sp.this.data$mcI$sp;
   override <stable> <accessor> <specialized> def data(): Array[Int] = Stack$mcI$sp.this.data$mcI$sp();
   <specialized> def push(x: Int): Unit = Stack$mcI$sp.this.push$mcI$sp(x);
   <specialized> def pop(): Int = Stack$mcI$sp.this.pop$mcI$sp();
   def specInstance$(): Boolean = true;
   <bridge> <specialized> def pop(): java.lang.Object = scala.Int.box(Stack$mcI$sp.this.pop());
   <bridge> <specialized> def push(x: java.lang.Object): Unit = Stack$mcI$sp.this.push(scala.Int.unbox(x));
   override <stable> <bridge> <specialized> def data(): java.lang.Object = Stack$mcI$sp.this.data();
   <specialized> def this(size: Int, evidence$1: scala.reflect.ClassManifest): Stack$mcI$sp = {
     Stack$mcI$sp.this.size = size;
     Stack$mcI$sp.this.evidence$1 = evidence$1;
     Stack$mcI$sp.super.this(size, evidence$1);
     Stack$mcI$sp.this.data$mcI$sp = evidence$1.newArray(size).$asInstanceOf[Array[Int]]();
     scala.this.Predef.println("created array of size ".+(scala.Int.box(runtime.this.ScalaRunTime.array_length(
     ()
   }
 }
}
```